

# Annual Comprehensive Financial Report

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022

## GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT

CITY OF OJAI | CITY OF OXNARD | CITY OF PORT HUENEME | CITY OF VENTURA | COUNTY OF VENTURA  
1901 AUTO CENTER DRIVE, OXNARD, CA 93036-7966 | P 805.483.3959 | F 805.487.0925 | GCTD.ORG

## **Gold Coast Transit District Board of Directors – June 30, 2022**



**Matt LaVere, Chair**  
Supervisor, 5th District, County of Ventura



**Mike Johnson, Vice Chair**  
Councilmember, City of Ventura



**Bryan A. MacDonald, Director**  
Mayor Pro Tem, City of Oxnard



**Martha McQueen-Legohn, Director (Term started January 2023)**  
Councilmember, City of Port Hueneme



**Rachel Lang, Councilmember (Term started January 2023)**  
Councilmember, City of Ojai

### ***Former Board Members during FY 2021-22 Fiscal Year***



**Richard Rollins, Director (Term ended December 2022)**  
Councilmember, City of Port Hueneme



**Randy Haney, Councilmember (Term ended December 2022)**  
Councilmember, City of Ojai

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT**  
**ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED**  
**JUNE 30, 2022**

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL REPORT  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Board of Directors – June 30, 2022	
Table of Contents .....	1
 <b><u>INTRODUCTORY SECTION (Unaudited)</u></b>	
Letter of Transmittal – General Manager .....	5-6
Organizational Information .....	8-9
Organizational Chart .....	10
Bus System Map .....	11
 <b><u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u></b>	
Independent Auditor's Report .....	14-16
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	18-19
Management's Discussion and Analysis – Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) .....	20-31
 <b>Basic Financial Statements:</b>	
Statement of Net Position .....	35
Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Position .....	36
Statement of Cash Flows .....	37-38
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements .....	40-64
 <b>Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited):</b>	
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios .....	67
Schedule of Contributions – Pension Plan.....	68
Schedule of Changes in the Net Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Liability and Related Ratios .....	69
 <b>Supplementary Information:</b>	
Schedules of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District .....	72
 <b><u>STATISTICAL SECTION (Unaudited)</u></b>	
Financial Ratios .....	75-76
Revenues and Expenses – Ten Year Comparison.....	77-78
Operational Statistics:	
Passenger Cost by Mode – Ten Year Comparison .....	79-80
Service Cost by Mode – Ten Year Comparison.....	81-82
Ridership and Service – Ten Year Comparison.....	83-84

This page intentionally left blank.

**INTRODUCTORY SECTION  
(UNAUDITED)**



This page intentionally left blank.



March 30, 2023

Board of Directors  
Gold Coast Transit District  
Oxnard, CA

Members of the Board and Community:

I am pleased to present GCTD's Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 Annual Comprehensive Financial Report. The State of California requires the Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) to prepare annual financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The financial statements are then audited by independent certified public accountants.

The GCTD goes beyond the minimum established requirements and produces an Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR). The ACFR is designed to provide a complete financial picture of the agency and includes the independent auditors' report, a management discussion, the audited financial statements as well as supplementary information including budget results and statistical information. The preparation of this report could not have been possible without the dedicated work of GCTD's Financial Staff, who worked diligently to the completion of the documents for this report.

This past year GCTD continued its focus on its core mission by delivering 2.3 million passenger trips in western Ventura County on our fixed-route and ACCESS services. As we continue our recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic, public transit continues to be an essential part of providing access to opportunities and improving quality of life for all in the cities we serve, including Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, San Buenaventura, and the County of Ventura.

Some noteworthy highlights at GCTD during FY 2021-22 include:

#### **Youth Ride Free**

Gold Coast Transit District is participating in Ventura County's new promotional program, *Youth Ride Free*. The program provides free rides to youth 18 years old and under, or older youth currently enrolled in high school. Attracting and retaining more youth riders will affect how they perceive and use public transit as they grow; hence, creating more lifelong transit riders and supporters, especially if they view public transit as a reliable and convenient transportation option. This has the potential to create adults that are less dependent on single use vehicles, further reducing emissions and traffic congestion for decades to come.

#### **Zero Emissions Transition Planning**

Staff continued to prepare for the future of zero emissions bus replacements to meet the goal of transitioning to a zero-emission fleet by 2040 which is required by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Last year, GCTD completed its Zero Emissions Transition Plan, as well as partnered with the City of Ojai to complete a joint plan.

#### **Ventura County Clean Air Summit and Expo**

In October of 2022, GCTD hosted its first Ventura County Clean Air Summit and Expo, supported in part by Ventura County Transportation Commission. Opening the Summit, Congresswoman Julia Brownley spoke to the crowd of transportation professionals and key stakeholders, thanking GCTD's leadership for meeting our county's transportation needs. The Summit then convened four established clean air experts addressing clean energy, climate action, hydrogen fuel cell technology, micro-mobility, and vehicle electrification. Following the Clean Air Summit, a free, open-to-the-public Expo was held showcasing sustainable clean air transportation practices.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT**

**Continued Operation of Ventura Road Route 23**

GCTD continued operations of the new Route 23 connecting the Naval Base Ventura County in Port Hueneme to Oxnard. Funded by a combination of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and Low Carbon Transit Operations Planning (LCTOP) grant funding, the new route allowed us to restructure services and speed up travel time significantly between north and south Oxnard.

**Continued Operations of Late Night Safe Rides & Expansion of Flexible Services**

Late Night Safe Rides continued to provide passengers with an affordable and safe transportation option during late night hours, in particular those employed in hospitality and health care who may get off later at night when fixed route bus service is at best, limited. This shared-ride, demand response service is available to anyone who requires a ride within GCTD's service area between the hours 7PM-12AM. This demonstration project is funded for one year by Federal CARES funding targeted towards improving access to jobs with a specific emphasis on assisting essential workers in their reverse commutes.

**Transit Oriented Development at Former Facility Site**

Work continues to prepare our former property site for future development. The Request for Proposal (RFP) process is currently underway to identify a development partner to build affordable housing adjacent to downtown Oxnard Transit Center.

**GCTD Selected as the "BEST PLACE TO WORK"**

The West Ventura County Business Alliance hosted the 72nd Annual Community & Business Awards in August and presented the Best Place to Work award to Gold Coast Transit District. GCTD was identified as an Oxnard business that strives to provide an enjoyable atmosphere for employees and a place that nurtures and rewards its workers.

I am incredibly proud of the work and outstanding accomplishments here at GCTD, made possible by our dedicated employees and the support of our Board of Directors and community partners. Since taking this role in March of 2022, I have witnessed firsthand the outstanding commitment from staff at all levels of the organization, including our frontline employees working behind the wheel, our maintenance staff ensuring all vehicles are operating safely, our friendly customer service team helping customers navigate the system, and our talented team in administration working daily on the successful operation of the organization.

While this year has been exciting, additional projects are on the horizon that promise to bring more change in 2023. We look forward to new opportunities that will continue to make GCTD an even better place to work and, more importantly, guarantee the safe and efficient delivery of our mission - keeping our community connected to opportunity.

Sincerely,



---

Vanessa Rauschenberger  
General Manager

This page intentionally left blank.

## **GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT ORGANIZATIONAL INFORMATION**

### **About Us**

Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) provides public fixed-route and paratransit service in the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and San Buenaventura, and the unincorporated areas of Ventura County. With 2.3 million passenger trips provided in FY 2021-22, GCTD is the largest public transportation operator in Ventura County. The fleet includes 61 buses, all powered by clean natural gas supplied by an on-site CNG fueling station and 27 paratransit vehicles with 80% powered by natural gas.

### **Our Mission**

GCTD's mission is to provide safe, responsive, convenient, efficient, and environmentally responsible public transportation that serves the diverse needs of our community.

### **History**

GCTD was founded in 1973 (originally named "South Coast Area Transit") when the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and San Buenaventura executed a Joint Powers Agreement that created "SCAT" to develop and operate local and intercity public transportation in western Ventura County.

Prior to 1973, Ventura Transit City Lines operated local service in San Buenaventura and Ojai, and Oxnard Municipal Bus Lines served Oxnard and Port Hueneme. Following a national trend, the bus systems that flourished through the mid-century began to decline in the 1960's. The outlook for public transit systems in California brightened in 1971 when the State Legislature created a source of dedicated transportation funding through passage of the Transportation Development Act (TDA). The availability of TDA funds to local governments provided an impetus for forming a single regional transit entity to operate coordinated transit services across municipal boundaries and in some unincorporated areas of western Ventura County. The County of Ventura joined SCAT in October of 1977. By February of 1980 the transit functions in western Ventura County were consolidated into a single administrative, operating and maintenance facility on a three-acre site at 301 East Third Street in Downtown Oxnard.

In the 1990's, SCAT began operation of ACCESS, a regional paratransit service providing curb-to-curb transportation for people with disabilities and senior citizens.

In June 2007, SCAT's Joint Powers Agreement was amended to rename the agency from South Coast Area Transit to Gold Coast Transit. The change in name was intended to help distinguish the agency from the 11 other agencies named SCAT around the nation and to better connect the service to the community it served.

In October 2013, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill (AB) 664, which formed the Gold Coast Transit District. The district legislation was initiated in response to Senate Bill (SB) 716, which required that all TDA funds in Ventura County be used solely for public transit purposes. Formation of a transit district allows GCTD's Board of Directors and staff to have greater flexibility in implementing service improvements by looking beyond jurisdictional borders in order to meet the public's transit needs efficiently and effectively.

In 2014, GCTD was named Small Agency of the Year by the California Transit Association. In 2015, GCTD unveiled a new logo and bus paint scheme to coincide with the purchase of replacement buses. The new colors reflect GCTD's commitment to quality public transportation, and evokes the agency's vision of a more modern, clean, and efficient future.

In 2019, the District opened the new 15-acre Administration and Operations Facility at 1901 Auto Center Drive in Oxnard that will allow GCTD to better meet the growing transit needs of the community.

## Statistics

- Service Area: Cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and San Buenaventura (Ventura) as well as the County of Ventura
- Population Served: 431,144
- Total System Annual Passengers: (FY 2021-22) 2.3 million
- 61 - fixed-route buses
  - Fuel Type: 100% Natural Gas
- 27 - paratransit buses and vans
  - Fuel Type: 80% Natural Gas

## Board of Directors

GCTD is governed by a Board of Directors. Each of GCTD's five-member agencies appoint one elected official from its governing body to serve on the Board of Directors and a second to serve as an alternate member. The Board of Director's regular monthly meetings are held on the first Wednesday of each month at 10:00 a.m.

## GCTD's Leadership

GCTD's General Manager is appointed by, and reports to, the Board of Directors (Board). The General Manager is charged with carrying out the Board's policies and directives and has full charge of the operation of GCTD's services, facilities, and administration of business affairs. GCTD's Management Team for FY 2021-22 was comprised of:

- **General Manager - Vanessa Rauschenberger**
- Assistant General Manager – *vacant*
- Director of Finance –Dawn Perkins
- Director of Operations & Maintenance – James Beck
- Director of Planning & Marketing – Cynthia Torres-Duque
- Director of Human Resources – Alex Zaretsky

## Employees


GCTD has 191 employees, the majority of whom operate or maintain buses. Service Employees International Union Local 721 represents all bus operators, most maintenance employees, and five administrative staff members. International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 186 represents all supervisors. GCTD contracts with MV Transportation for the maintenance and operation of ACCESS Paratransit.

# GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



ORGANIZATIONAL CHART  
January 2023

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS OJAI | OXNARD | PORT HUENEME | VENTURA | COUNTY OF VENTURA



**SYSTEM MAP** **GO GOLD COAST TRANSIT**

**VENTURA**

**OXNARD**

**PORT HUENEME**

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT BUS ROUTES**

- 1 PORT HUENEME - ONWARD TRANSIT CENTER
- 2 COLIMA - DOWNTOWN ONWARD
- 3 JST - CENTERPOINT MALL - LEMONWOOD
- 4 GONZALES RD - NORTH ONWARD
- 5 ST. JOHN'S - GONZALES RD
- 6 ONWARD - VENTURA - MAIN ST
- 7 ONWARD COLLEGE - CENTERPOINT
- 8 OTC - ONWARD COLLEGE - CENTERPOINT
- 9 PACIFIC VIEW MALL - TELEPHONE - SATICOY
- 10 PACIFIC VIEW MALL - TELEPHONE - MELLIS/CTR
- 11 ESPLANADE - EL RIO - ST. JOHN'S
- 12 DOWNTOWN OJAI - PACIFIC VIEW MALL
- 13 ESPLANADE - ONWARD COLLEGE
- 14 TRIPPERS
- 15 5TH - VICTORIA - GONZALES RD
- 16 PACIFIC VIEW MALL - VICTORIA - C ST
- 17 ESPLANADE - NAVC - ONWARD COLLEGE

**LEGEND**

- TRANSIT/TRANSFER CENTER
- PARK & RIDE
- TRAIN STATION
- AIRPORT
- HOSPITAL
- POINT OF INTEREST
- SCHOOL
- PARK

**MAP NOT TO SCALE**

**OJAI INSET**

**ST. JOHN'S INSET**

**ESPLANADE INSET**



## **FINANCIAL SECTION**

This page intentionally left blank.



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors  
Gold Coast Transit District  
Oxnard, California

### Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Gold Coast Transit District (District), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2022 and the related statements of activities and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2022 and the respective changes in its financial position and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

## **Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements**

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control related matters that we identified during the audit.

## **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios, Schedule of Contributions – Pension Plan, Schedule of Changes in the Net Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Liability and Related Ratios be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### **Supplementary Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as a whole. The Schedules of Changes in Local Transportation Funding Activity of the District is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

### **Other Information**

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the introductory and statistical sections but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

### **Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a separate report dated March 31, 2023, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Nigro & Nigro, PC". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Murrieta, California  
March 31, 2023

This page intentionally left blank.



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL  
REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN  
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

Board of Directors  
Gold Coast Transit District  
Oxnard, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Gold Coast Transit District (District), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2022, and the related statement of activities and changes in net position and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 31, 2023.

**Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Murrieta, California  
March 31, 2023



**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Unaudited)  
JUNE 30, 2022**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of activities and financial performance of Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD, or the District) introduces the basic financial statements of GCTD for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the transmittal letter contained in the Introductory Section and with the statements and related notes contained in the Financial Section.

**ACTIVITIES AND HIGHLIGHTS**

GCTD provides bus and paratransit services in the cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and Ventura, and in the unincorporated Ventura County areas between the cities. The service area is approximately 91 square miles with a population of approximately 431,114.

After the COVID pandemic, all transit ridership has increased by almost 20% over the prior year.

GCTD owns 88 passenger and paratransit revenue vehicles, primarily fueled with clean burning compressed natural gas (CNG) from GCTD's owned and operated CNG fueling station. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22, GCTD vehicles carried approximately 2.3 million passengers while traveling over 2.6 million miles in revenue service.

GCTD operates a fleet of 61 fixed-route passenger buses. In FY 2021-22, GCTD fixed-route passenger buses traveled 2.1 million miles of revenue service and provided 2,261,605 passenger boardings, an increase of 19.6% from the previous year's boardings.

In FY 2021-22, the ACCESS paratransit system traveled 562,865 miles of revenue service and transported 75,596 passengers, an increase of 22.05% from the previous year. The GCTD ACCESS service is operated under contract by MV Transportation, Inc. GCTD owns the paratransit fleet, which consists of 27 vehicles, including 13 MV1 vans, 5 gasoline ford transit vans, 8 cutaway vans and 1 electric van.

	2022	2021	Increase (Decrease)
Fixed Passenger Route	2,261,605	1,891,011	19.60%
ACCESS Paratransit One-Way Trips	75,596	61,938	22.05%
Total Boardings	2,337,201	1,952,949	19.68%

GCTD is different than most transit operations in Southern California in that it provides transit service without support from a direct local sales tax measure, tax levy or dedicated general fund support. The use of Local Transportation Funds (LTF) from a quarter-cent state sales tax provided by the Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1974 has historically been the primary funding source available to GCTD to support transit services.

LTF increased substantially through the early 2000s and peaked in FY 2006-07; it was highly impacted by the recession that followed. After decreasing 35% from FY 2006-07 to FY 2009-10, LTF funding allocated to GCTD member jurisdiction (by population) has returned to its pre-recession level. In FY 2021-22 GCTD received \$15,272,757 in gross LTF funding. Due to the continued impact of COVID-19, GCTD's LTF for FY 2021-22 was reduced from the previous year.

In FY 2014-15, Gold Coast Transit, a joint powers authority (JPA), became Gold Coast Transit District as the result of state legislation. As a transit district, GCTD directly receives all LTF funds allocated to its member jurisdictions. GCTD's enabling legislation also allows GCTD members to claim from the District a portion of its LTF funds for transit services (not provided by the District) that the member funds or operates. In FY 2021-22 GCTD provided to its members \$1,648,290 in net LTF funding.

GCTD's second largest source of operating revenue is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grants. Federal Section 5307 grants are allocated based on a federal formula and have remained relatively stable over the past ten years. Section 5307 is the core program that provides federal funds used for GCTD operating activities. GCTD expended \$2,179,369 in Section 5307 grant funds for eligible operating activities in FY 2021-22. \$86,134 was expended for Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities. GCTD also used Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funds for Ventura Road (Route 23) operations. GCTD expended \$346,642 towards Ventura Road (Route 23) operations in FY 2021-22. Section 5339 provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and facilities. GCTD expended \$1,462,345 in 5339 funds towards the Certificates of Participation (COP) repayment of debt service. GCTD expended \$3,237,453 in 5307 CMAQ funds towards the replacement of 6 buses. GCTD expended \$108,312 in Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act funding in FY 2021-22. GCTD expended \$6,881,000 in American Rescue Plan (ARP) Act funding in FY 2021-22. GCTD received Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursement for COVID expenditures to protect the public and employees in FY 2020-21 in the amount of \$154,633.

Another revenue source for GCTD is State Transportation Assistance (STA). Although STA accounts for less than 0.01% of GCTD revenues (\$300,300 in FY 2021-22), STA does provide significant funding for competing Ventura County transit priorities such as Metrolink and Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) Intercity Transit. GCTD expended \$244,646 in STA funds for operating activities in FY 2021-22.

### **GASB STATEMENTS NO. 68 AND NO. 71**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is an independent, nonprofit, non-governmental regulatory body charged with setting accounting and financial reporting standards for state and local governments. Beginning with FY 2014-15, GASB Statements No. 68 and No. 71 required agencies to report their net pension liability in accrual-based basic financial statements. This is distinctly different than previous methods in which funding and accounting were aligned. Please note that these standards only impact the accounting and financial reporting of pension obligations for governmental employers; pension contribution rates and funding requirements are not impacted.

GCTD employees are covered by a California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) pension plan. GCTD's net pension liability at June 30, 2022 is \$7,598,074.

Note 10 to the basic financial statements addresses the GASB Statements No. 68 and No. 71 requirements in substantially greater detail.

### **GASB STATEMENTS NO. 74 AND NO. 75**

GCTD provides retiree healthcare benefits for employees who retire with CalPERS pension benefits immediately upon retirement from the District. GCTD pays the minimum employer contribution amount as prescribed by the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA). The District pays a portion of the cost of health insurance for retirees under any group plan offered by CalPERS, subject to certain restrictions as determined by the District. Retirees pay the portion of premium not paid by the District. For context, in 2022, GCTD pays \$149.00 per month per employee.

In 2013, GCTD joined the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) Fund, a Section 115 trust fund managed by CalPERS dedicated to prefunding Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) for all eligible California public agencies. GCTD has invested in CERBT each year an amount to maintain a zero net liability in accordance with the actuarial calculation required under GASB Statements No. 43 and No. 45. As of June 30, 2022, GCTD's investment in CERBT was valued at \$754,714.

Beginning with the FY 2017-2018 fiscal year, public agencies are required to report OPEB liabilities in accordance with GASB Statements No. 74 and No. 75. The new GASB statements require public agencies to recognize a liability for OPEB obligations, known as the net OPEB liability (NOL), on the Statement of Net Position, and to recognize an OPEB expense on the Statement of Activities and Changes in Net Position. This is very similar to what is now required under GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68 for pensions. GCTD's NOL at June 30, 2022 is \$1,733,290.

Note 4 to the basic financial statements addresses the GASB Statements No. 74 and No. 75 requirements in substantially greater detail.

### **GASB STATEMENT NO. 87**

Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) is required to implement GASB Statement No. 87, Leases for the year ended June 30, 2022.

In June 2017, GASB issued Statement No. 87, Leases (GASB Statement No. 87), to better meet the information needs of financial statement users by improving accounting and financial reporting for leases by governments. This statement increases the usefulness of governments' financial statements by requiring recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. It also establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. Implementation of this Statement had a significant effect on GCTD's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2022.

GASB 87 defines a lease as a contract that conveys control of the right to use another entity's nonfinancial asset as specified in the contract for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. GASB 87 applies to all contracts meeting this definition of a lease, unless specifically excluded.

GCTD entered into a lease with the City of Oxnard for the Customer Service Center located at 201 E. Fourth Street, Suite 103A on December 6, 2016. The term of the lease is January 1, 2017 – December 31, 2026. The base rent started the term at \$997.14 per month. There is annual rate adjustment beginning in October 2019 equal to the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U) for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County California area as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for each twelve (12) month period provided that no annual increase will be greater than three percent (3%).

A second lease with the City of Oxnard for the term of September 1, 2019 – August 31, 2022 for the Bus Operator breakroom at 201 E. Fourth Street, Suite 206B on August 5, 2019. An extension of the lease was executed through August 31, 2025. The base rent at the start of the term was \$468.00 per month. There is annual rate adjustment beginning in October 2019 equal to the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U) for the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim California area as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for each twelve (12) month period provided that no annual increase will be greater than five percent (5%).

## FINANCIAL POSITION SUMMARY


GCTD's total net position for FY 2021-22 is \$46,239,478, a 5.37% increase from last year's restated net position of \$43,883,726.

	6/30/2022	6/30/2021
<b>Assets</b>		
Current Assets	\$ 12,529,170	\$ 12,445,955
Capital Assets (Net)	63,733,870	63,382,037
All Other Assets	5,483,819	5,922,727
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>81,746,859</b>	<b>81,750,719</b>
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>5,328,340</b>	<b>3,528,402</b>
<b>Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>\$ 87,075,199</b>	<b>\$ 85,279,121</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Current Liabilities	\$ 3,887,501	\$ 4,130,059
Non-Current Liabilities	31,078,369	36,849,923
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>34,965,870</b>	<b>40,979,982</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	<b>5,869,851</b>	<b>415,413</b>
<b>Net Position</b>		
Net Investment in Capital Assets	41,740,005	40,977,211
Restricted	5,163,333	5,909,245
Unrestricted (Deficit)	(663,860)	(3,002,730)
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>46,239,478</b>	<b>43,883,726</b>
<b>Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 87,075,199</b>	<b>\$ 85,279,121</b>

The largest portion of GCTD's **net position** is its net investment in capital assets, such as buses, buildings, improvements, and equipment. GCTD uses these capital assets to provide services to its passengers; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. The increase in capital assets is primarily due to the purchase of replacement buses.

*Restricted net position* are those funds set aside or specifically awarded to fund the purchase of future capital projects and transit vehicle acquisitions. The remaining *unrestricted deficit net position* is primarily the result of the net pension liability and related deferred inflows and outflows of resources recorded in accordance with GASB Statement No. 68 requirements.

The following chart shows GCTD's total assets by percentage:



## GCTD PASSENGER FARES

Passenger fares are set by the Board of Directors (Board) and changed when determined necessary by the Board. The most recent fare increase was approved during FY 2009-10, when the Board of Directors approved a two-phase fare increase. The first phase took effect on January 24, 2010, and the second phase took effect on August 21, 2011. The base cash fare for GCTD fixed route buses is \$1.50, and by policy the paratransit fare is automatically set at twice the amount of the fixed route fare, or \$3.00.

GCTD last restructured its multi-ride ticket and monthly pass program in October 2013. GCTD's current fare structure is as follows:

### GCTD FIXED ROUTE FARES

<u>Cash Fares (One Way)</u>	<u>Fare Amount</u>	<u>Multi-Ride Ticket or Monthly Pass</u>	<u>Fare Amount</u>
Adult	\$ 1.50	Adult	
Youth (through age 18)	\$ 1.50	15-Ride	\$ 20.00
Seniors (65-74 years of age with GCTD ID or proof of age)	\$ 0.75	31-Day Pass	\$ 50.00
Medicare (with Medicare Card)	\$ 0.75	Youth	
Disabled (ADA card or GCTD ID)	\$ 0.75	15-Ride	\$ 15.00
Seniors 75+ (with GCTD ID or proof of age)	Free	31-Day Pass	\$ 40.00
Children under 45" tall (when accompanied by paid fare)	Free	Reduced Fare (Senior/Disabled)	
Day Pass (One-Day/Unlimited Boardings)	\$ 4.00	15-Ride	\$ 10.00
Day Pass for Seniors/Medicare/Disabled	\$ 2.00	31-Day Pass	\$ 25.00

### GCTD ACCESS (Paratransit) FARES

<u>Cash Fares (One Way)</u>		<u>Multi-Ride Ticket or Monthly Pass</u>	
ADA Certified or Senior	\$ 3.00	Book of Ten Tickets - ADA Certified or Senior	\$ 30.00
Senior Nutrition (registered with County program)	Donation		

## Financial Operations Highlights

Fare revenue collections resumed effective May 3, 2021. Operating revenues increased 258.75%, from \$1,242,945 in FY 2020-21 to \$4,459,112 in FY 2021-22. Fixed route revenues increased 231.33% or \$1,802,111 with a 19.6% increase in passenger boardings from FY 2020-21. Paratransit fare revenues increased 197.00% or \$88,773 in FY 2021-22 with a 22.05% increase in passenger boardings. Alternative energy credits account for \$1,057,163 of the \$1,132,887 increase in other operating revenues. GCTD achieved its TDA-mandated minimum fare box recovery ratio of 20% overall with FY 2021-22 resulting in a combined fare box ratio of 46.31%, 48.77% for fixed route, and 64.5% for paratransit. This year GCTD was not required to meet the minimum fare box recovery ratio requirement due to the COVID-19 pandemic suspension of this regulation until FY23.

Operating loss before depreciation increased \$1,620,709 or 6.39% from \$25,359,396 to \$23,738,687. The largest factors for the increase were payroll, particularly overtime, and fuel costs. The year-to-year operating expenses increase was primarily driven by:

1. A \$589,957 or 332.52% increase in overtime costs, resulting from paid COVID leave and the difficulty in attracting new bus operator employees to GCTD in FY 2021-22. This is an industry wide trend over the last few years.
2. A \$2,790,392 or 22.6% increase in labor expenses, resulting from increases from the negotiated SEIU MOU including retroactive pay back to the start of the fiscal year and a sharp increase in overtime resulting from the inability to fill vacant bus operator positions and COVID leaves.
3. A \$1,620,400 or 114.75% decrease in pension liabilities due to a change in actuarial assumptions for measurement date June 30, 2022.

### Condensed Statements of Activities and Changes in Net Position

	2022	2021	Increase/ (Decrease)
Operating Revenues	\$ 4,459,112	\$ 1,242,945	\$ 3,216,167
Operating Expenses	(28,197,799)	(26,602,341)	(1,595,458)
Operating Loss Before Depreciation	(23,738,687)	(25,359,396)	1,620,709
Depreciation	(3,393,698)	(3,797,278)	403,580
Operating Loss	(27,132,385)	(29,156,674)	2,024,289
Non-Operating Revenues/(Expenses), Net	29,488,137	27,362,809	2,125,328
Change in Net Position	2,355,752	(1,793,865)	4,149,617
Net Position, as Restated			
Beginning of Year	43,883,726	45,677,591	(1,793,865)
End of Year	\$ 46,239,478	\$ 43,883,726	\$ 2,355,752

## REVENUES

A summary of revenues for the year ended June 30, 2022, including the amount of change in relation to prior year amounts, is as follows:

	2022	Percentage of Total	2021	Percentage of Total	Increase/ (Decrease)
Operating Revenues					
Fixed Route Passenger Fares	\$ 2,065,513	6.10%	\$ 263,402	0.90%	\$ 1,802,111
Paratransit Fees	133,836	0.40%	45,063	0.20%	88,773
Advertising	411,945	1.20%	219,549	0.70%	192,396
Other Operating	1,847,818	5.40%	714,931	2.40%	1,132,887
Total Operating Revenues	4,459,112	13.10%	1,242,945	4.20%	3,216,167
Non-Operating Revenues					
Local Transportation Funds, net	15,272,757	45.00%	14,596,719	49.40%	676,038
Federal Grants	15,497,082	45.70%	12,913,641	43.70%	2,583,441
State Funding	1,251,201	3.70%	523,605	1.80%	727,596
Other and Investment	(2,532,903)	-7.50%	279,596	0.90%	(2,812,499)
Total Non-Operating Revenues	29,488,137	86.90%	28,313,561	95.80%	1,174,576
Total Revenues	\$ 33,947,249	100.00%	\$ 29,556,506	100.00%	\$ 4,390,743

### Operating Revenues

#### Fixed Route Passenger Fares

Passenger fare revenues for fixed route bus service increased \$1,802,111 from FY 2020-21 to FY 2021-22, as the result of a 19.6% increase in passenger boardings and resumption of fares after the pandemic.

#### Paratransit Fares

Paratransit fee fare revenues increased \$88,773 or 197% as the result of an increase in local fares for paratransit. GCTD's FY 2021-22 boardings for fixed route and paratransit service increased from FY 2020-21 due to economic recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Note: In order to provide relief to transit operators, the State of California has suspended enforcement of the California regulations that require that a transit service claimant for TDA funds have a system wide ratio of fare and local revenues to operating cost of at least 20%, or that the claimant realize a fare box recovery ratio (FBRR) of 20% for fixed route passenger service and 10% for paratransit service. GCTD Met both fare box recovery ratios with 48.77% for fixed route and 64.5% for paratransit. The combined fare box ratio was 46.31%.

#### Advertising Income

GCTD has been selling commercial bus advertising since FY 2006-07 and continues to attract advertising contracts from both local and national entities. In FY 2021-22 GCTD generated \$411,945 in advertising revenues, an increase of \$192,396 or 87.6% from the previous year due to increased interest in reaching more riders. GCTD anticipates continued advertising revenue growth in the coming years.

## **Other Operating Revenue**

### Alternative Fuel Excise Tax Credit

GCTD has received funds from the federal government's Alternative Fuel Excise Tax Credit program for many years based on its use of CNG as a vehicle fuel. The program has revenue of \$1,057,163 for the FY 2021-22, an increase of \$578,485 from the prior year revenues of \$478,678.

Energy Credit Revenue - Commencing in FY 2014-15, GCTD generates and sells both Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) credits (State of California) and Renewable Identification Number (RIN) credits (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) from its use of renewable natural gas to fuel the fleet. In FY 2021-22 GCTD was able to negotiate a new contract with Clean Energy Inc. with improved terms for the years of our third-party gas supply contract. In FY 2021-22, GCTD received \$790,655 from the generation and sale of state and federal credits, an increase of \$554,402 or 234.7% from the FY 2020-21 revenues of \$236,253. The market for these credits is based on regulation and demand and can be volatile, however, this program has been very beneficial to GCTD.

## **Non-Operating Revenues**

### **Local Transportation Funds (LTF)**

On July 1, 2014, Gold Coast Transit became Gold Coast Transit District (GCTD) as the result of state legislation signed by Governor Brown in October 2013. As a Transit District, GCTD is entitled to claim the entire amount of state Local Transportation Funds (LTF) apportioned by population to its member jurisdictions. GCTD's enabling legislation also allows GCTD members to claim from the District a portion of its LTF funds for eligible transit services (not provided by the District) that the member funds or operates.

For FY 2021-22 GCTD claimed \$14,607,646 in LTF funds; of that amount, \$1,648,290 was claimed by GCTD's members for their transit service requirements. GCTD used \$12,959,174 for current year operations and made no contribution to GCTD's capital reserve in FY 2021-22. GCTD carried over no unearned prior year LTF funds into FY 2021-22 and recognized FY 2020-21 unearned LTF funds of \$495,262 as revenue in FY2021-22. GCTD also received \$170,031 in member refunds during FY 2021-22.

### **Federal Grants**

GCTD's second largest source of operating revenue is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grants. Federal Section 5307 grants are allocated based on a federal formula and have remained relatively stable over the past ten years. Section 5307 is the core program that provides federal funds used for GCTD operating activities. GCTD expended \$2,179,369 in Section 5307 grant funds for eligible operating activities in FY 2021-22. \$86,134 was expended for Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities. GCTD also used Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funds for Ventura Road (Route 23) operations. GCTD expended \$346,642 towards Ventura Road (Route 23) operations in FY 2021-22. Section 5339 provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and facilities. GCTD expended \$1,462,345 in 5339 funds towards the Certificates of Participation (COP) repayment of debt service. GCTD expended \$3,237,453 in 5307 CMAQ funds towards the replacement of 6 buses. GCTD expended \$108,312 in Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act funding in FY 2021-22. GCTD expended \$6,881,000 in American Rescue Plan (ARP) Act funding in FY 2021-22. GCTD received Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursement for COVID expenditures to protect the public and employees in FY 2020-21 in the amount of \$154,633.

### **State Funding**

STA and SGR - GCTD also receives State Transportation Assistance (STA) and State of Good Repair (SGR) funding. In FY 2021-22 the State Controller's Office (SCO) allocated GCTD \$300,300 in STA funds and \$43,820 in SGR funds. STA and SGR funds can be used for specific eligible activities, such as for local match for Federal grants, operating activities, or preventive maintenance. The STA and SGA funds increased \$43,377 from the prior year primarily due to additional STA project funding.



LCTOP and Prop 1B – GCTD participates in the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) and receives funding for approved mobility projects. GCTD also receives Proposition 1B grant funding for various operating and capital asset projects. There were no Prop 1B new projects in FY 2021-22 and prior projects were winding down; thus, there were \$0 revenues, and all prior funds were expended resulting in a \$0 net position at June 30, 2022.


LCTOP received \$333,837 of funding in FY 2021-22 for Route 23 operations. There are \$35,221 funds remaining at June 30, 2022.

### Other and Investment

Investment earnings represent interest earnings and fair market adjustments on temporary investments with the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and on money market funds held at Union Bank. GCTD earned \$25,383 in FY 2020-21 compared to a loss of \$85,456 in FY 2021-22, a decrease of \$113,839 from the previous year due to unfavorable market changes.

Other revenue consists primarily of sale of equipment and scrap material and insurance proceeds. GCTD earned \$146,663 in FY 2021-22, a decrease of \$107,550 from the prior year, primarily due to a decrease in insurance premium refunds from reserve account.

The following chart shows the major sources of operating and non-operating revenues for the year ended June 30, 2022, as a percentage of total revenues:



## EXPENSES

A summary of expenses for the year ended June 30, 2022, including the amount and percentage of change in relation to prior year amounts, is as follows:

### Expenses

	2022	Percentage of Total	2021	Percentage of Total	Increase/ (Decrease)
Operating Expenses					
Vehicle Operation	\$ 15,074,135	47.70%	\$ 13,222,510	43.50%	\$ 1,851,625
Vehicle Maintenance	4,530,302	14.30%	4,939,024	16.20%	(408,722)
Planning and Marketing	981,258	3.10%	1,229,163	4.00%	(247,906)
Operations and Administration	4,218,221	13.40%	4,258,069	14.00%	(39,848)
Paratransit	3,393,883	10.70%	2,953,575	9.70%	440,309
Operating Expenses before Depreciation	28,197,800	89.20%	26,602,342	87.40%	1,595,458
Depreciation	3,393,698	10.70%	3,797,278	12.50%	(403,580)
Total Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 31,591,498</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$ 30,399,620</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$ 1,191,878</u>

Vehicle Operation costs for FY 2021-22 were 14.00% higher than in FY 2020-21. The largest factor of the cost increase was a 353.87% increase in overtime and 130.20% increase in fuel costs. This was partially offset by a 57.00% decrease in COVID costs and a 62.65% decrease in training costs. The change in assumptions for pension liability also played a large part in reducing the effect of rising fuel costs and labor costs.

Maintenance costs for FY 2021-22 were 8.28% lower than in FY 2020-21. The cost decrease was primarily attributable to the change in assumptions for pension costs and the higher costs in utilities, environmental fees, as well as tires/tubes.


Planning and Marketing department costs for FY 2021-22 were 20.17% lower than in FY 2020-21. The decrease in Planning and Marketing was driven primarily by a decrease in pension costs due to a change in assumptions in the actuarial report with an offset of an increase in Advertising/Promotions costs.

Operations and Administration Department costs for FY 2021-22 were 0.94% lower than in FY 2020-21. The cost decrease is the result of decreased legal costs and pension costs due to a change in assumptions in the actuarial report. These costs were offset by compensation costs, training, fees for credit cards and token transit, and a 584.00% increase in other materials and supplies.

Paratransit operations costs for FY 2021-22 were 14.91% higher than in FY 2020-21. The increase is primarily the result of a 125.34% increase in fuel/lubricants. This increase in operating costs was offset by a decrease in pension costs due to the change in assumptions in the pension liability calculation.

Total operating expenses before depreciation were 6.00% higher driven largely by fuels/lubricants and materials/supplies and contract maintenance service due to the economy and inflation.

The following chart shows major cost categories and the percentage of operating expenses for the year ended June 30, 2022:



## **BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

GCTD's basic financial statements are prepared on an accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. GCTD is structured as an enterprise fund with revenues normally recognized when earned, not when received. Expenses are recognized when incurred, not when paid. Capital assets are capitalized and (except for land) depreciated over their estimated useful lives. See the notes to the basic financial statements for a summary of GCTD's significant accounting policies.

## **CAPITAL ASSET ACQUISITION**

During FY 2021-22, GCTD added \$3,838,252 in capital additions. Some of the major additions included \$3,569,923 for 6 compressed natural gas-powered buses, and \$167,627 for an electric powered vehicle.

Capital asset acquisitions are capitalized at cost. Acquisitions are typically funded primarily using federal grants with matching local funds. Over the past decade GCTD has received state grants from the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) and California Office of Emergency Services (Cal-OES) Safety and Security programs, both of which may be used to match federal funds but neither of which require local matching funds.

## **ECONOMIC AND STRATEGIC FACTORS**

GCTD now operates a facility that can support both recent growth and future growth. The commitment GCTD made in taking on long-term debt for addressing the future transit needs with the larger facility continues importance on State and Federal transit funding.

LTF, GCTD's most important funding source, appears to be not growing but has stabilized as the economy continues to grow. Although the District made a commitment to future public transit needs by developing a new larger operating base, GCTD may be vulnerable to another significant economic downturn similar to what the country experienced in 2008. Meanwhile, Ventura County remains the most populated county in California without a dedicated transportation tax. This not only limits GCTD's ability to grow and provide more robust transit service to the community but also has resulted in limiting GCTD's ability to compete for State and Federal grant funds. Ventura County voters failed in November 2016 to approve a one-half cent sales tax to fund needed road and transportation improvements; however, Measure AA received over 64% approval in the four cities GCTD serves. Other high-population counties in California have recognized the need for local funding to support the provision of transit services. GCTD will continue to have future public transit needs constrained until this issue is successfully addressed.

From a labor perspective, GCTD this year continues under the current agreement which runs through June 30, 2024. Service Employees International Union #721 (SEIU) represents approximately 80% of GCTD's employees. The three Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with SEIU run through June 30, 2024. Labor negotiations are slated to start in April of 2023 with the Teamsters for the Supervisors.

Since 1995, GCTD has used CNG to fuel its entire bus and paratransit fleet and most of its service vehicles. GCTD owns and operates a natural gas compression station. GCTD continued to realize benefits from its contract with Clean Energy that provides GCTD renewable natural gas at a discount from the published commodity price and revenue from GCTD's sale of LCFS credits (State of California) and RIN credits (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)) generated from its use of CNG as a fuel.

GCTD has ordered an additional four (4) CNG buses for delivery next fiscal year. GCTD will be running its CNG bus fleet for many years as we transition to zero emission hydrogen buses. GCTD's Board of Directors is looking toward the future and recently made the commitment to move the agency toward zero emission buses for future fleet purchases.

Throughout its history, GCTD (and its predecessor agencies Gold Coast Transit and South Coast Area Transit) has been constrained from growth by the limitations of its revenue. Increased revenue, from the additional LTF funds available to GCTD when it became a District in 2014, allowed the District to proceed with debt funding to complete and move into a new facility to prepare for future growth. GCTD will seek new or additional revenue sources to increase the level of service to the people of Western Ventura County.

GCTD actively pursues all relevant grant opportunities. It is important to note that discretionary grants do not provide recurring revenue. GCTD has undertaken several initiatives to increase revenues, such as on-board advertising sales, reimbursement for Medi-Cal eligible paratransit transportation and the generation and sale of LCFS and RIN credits. GCTD will continue to aggressively seek revenue opportunities from initiatives such as these – SB1 has the potential to fill the gap. It is important that a means of local financial support for Ventura County transit is identified and implemented.

## **REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

This financial report is designed to provide GCTD's members, customers, stakeholders and other interested parties with an overview of GCTD's financial operations and financial condition. Should the reader have questions regarding the information included in this report or wish to request additional financial information, please contact Dawn Perkins, Director of Finance, at Gold Coast Transit District, 1901 Auto Center Drive, Oxnard, California, 93036.

This page intentionally left blank.

## **BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION  
JUNE 30, 2022**

**ASSETS**

**Current assets:**

Cash and investments	\$ 6,711,921
Interest Receivable	1,871
Trade and other Receivables	1,483,042
Due from other governments	3,596,025
Inventories and prepaid items	736,311
<b>Total current assets</b>	<u>12,529,170</u>

**Non-current assets:**

Restricted cash and investments with fiscal agent	5,416,007
Capital assets, net	63,733,870
Right of use lease asset, net	67,812
<b>Total non-current assets</b>	<u>69,217,689</u>
<b>Total assets</b>	<u>81,746,859</u>

**DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES**

Pension related deferred outflows	3,758,401
OPEB related deferred outflows	1,569,939
<b>Total deferred outflows of resources</b>	<u>5,328,340</u>

**LIABILITIES**

**Current liabilities:**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,001,575
Accrued interest payable	490,594
Accrued compensated absences - current	914,897
Right of use lease liability - current	15,812
Certificates of participation payable - current	464,623
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<u>3,887,501</u>

**Non-current liabilities:**

Accrued compensated absences	198,628
Right of use lease liability	52,797
Certificates of participation payable	21,495,580
Net pension liability	7,598,074
Net OPEB liability	1,733,290
<b>Total non-current liabilities</b>	<u>31,078,369</u>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>34,965,870</u>

**DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES**

Pension related deferred inflows	5,460,073
OPEB related deferred inflows	409,778
<b>Total deferred inflows of resources</b>	<u>5,869,851</u>

**NET POSITION**

Net investment in capital assets	41,772,869
Restricted for capital acquisitions	2,946,190
Restricted for debt service	2,217,143
Unrestricted (deficit)	(696,724)
<b>Total net position</b>	<u>\$ 46,239,478</u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.



**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

**Operating revenues:**

Passenger fares:	
Fixed route	\$ 2,065,513
Paratransit	133,836
Advertising revenue	411,945
Other operating	<u>1,847,818</u>
<b>Total operating revenues</b>	<u><b>4,459,112</b></u>

**Operating expenses:**

Vehicle operation	15,074,135
Vehicle maintenance	4,530,302
Planning and marketing	981,258
Operations and administration	4,218,221
Paratransit	3,393,883
Depreciation	<u>3,393,698</u>
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<u><b>31,591,497</b></u>

<b>Operating (loss)</b>	<u><b>(27,132,385)</b></u>
-------------------------	----------------------------

**Non-operating revenues (expenses) and transfers:**

Local transportation funding	15,272,757
Federal grants	15,497,082
State transit assistance	300,300
State and local grants	617,064
Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP)	333,837
Investment earnings (loss)	(88,456)
Local assistance to other agencies	(1,648,290)
Interest expense, net	(942,820)
Other revenue	<u>146,663</u>
<b>Total non-operating revenues (expenses) and transfers</b>	<u><b>29,488,137</b></u>

<b>Change in net position</b>	<b>2,355,752</b>
-------------------------------	------------------

**Net position:**

Beginning of year	<u>43,883,726</u>
End of year	<u><u><b>\$ 46,239,478</b></u></u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>	
Receipts from customers and users	\$ 2,199,349
Payments to suppliers	(18,909,403)
Payments to employees	(10,776,084)
Other operating revenues	<u>793,161</u>
<b>Net cash (used) by operating activities</b>	<u>\$ (26,692,977)</u>
<b>Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:</b>	
Proceeds from local transportation funding	14,777,495
Proceeds from federal funding	11,313,773
Proceeds from state transit assistance	243,895
Proceeds from state funding	950,745
Payments to other agencies	(1,648,290)
Proceeds from other noncapital funding	<u>146,663</u>
<b>Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities</b>	<u>25,784,281</u>
<b>Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:</b>	
Right-to-use leased asset, net	
Right-to-use lease payable, net	
Principal paid on loans and bonds	(390,000)
Principal paid on right-to-use leased asset	
Proceeds from capital grants	3,237,453
Interest paid on loans, bonds, and capital leases	(1,005,170)
Proceeds from right-to-use lease payable	84,067
Principal paid on right-to-use leased payable	(15,458)
Purchase of capital assets	<u>(3,922,319)</u>
<b>Net cash (used) by capital and related financing activities</b>	<u>(2,011,427)</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>	
Interest and dividends on investments	<u>(89,467)</u>
<b>Net cash provided by investing activities</b>	<u>(89,467)</u>
<b>Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents</b>	(3,009,590)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents:</b>	
Beginning of year	<u>15,137,518</u>
End of year	<u><u>\$ 12,127,928</u></u>
<b>Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the balance sheet:</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,711,921
Restricted - cash and investments	<u>5,416,007</u>
<b>Total cash and cash equivalents</b>	<u><u>\$ 12,127,928</u></u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

<b>Reconciliation of operating (loss) to net cash (used) by operating activities:</b>	
Operating (loss)	<u>\$ (27,132,385)</u>
<b>Adjustments to reconcile operating (loss) to net cash (used) by operating activities:</b>	
Depreciation	3,393,698
Right-to-use lease amortization expense	16,255
Loss on capital asset	92,721
<b>Change in assets - (increase) decrease</b>	
Trade and other receivables	(1,466,601)
Inventories and prepaid items	(118,078)
<b>Change in deferred outflows of resources - (increase) decrease</b>	
Deferred outflows - pension	(285,256)
Deferred outflows - OPEB	(1,514,682)
<b>Change in liabilities - increase (decrease)</b>	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	193,349
Accrued compensated absences	43,050
Net pension liability	(6,932,929)
Net OPEB liability	1,563,443
<b>Change in deferred inflows of resources - increase (decrease)</b>	
Deferred inflows - pension	5,387,631
Deferred inflows - OPEB	66,807
<b>Total adjustments</b>	<u>439,408</u>
<b>Net cash (used) by operating activities</b>	<u><u>\$ (26,692,977)</u></u>
<b>Non-cash investing, capital and financing transactions:</b>	
Amortization of bond premium	<u>\$ 54,623</u>
Change in unearned revenue	<u><u>\$ 495,262</u></u>

See accompanying notes to the basic financial statements.

This page intentionally left blank.

## **NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
JUNE 30, 2022**

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**A. Description of the Reporting Entity**

The principal business activity of Gold Coast Transit District (District) is to provide public transportation service to customers in the geographic area known as western Ventura County located in Southern California. As of July 1, 2014, Gold Coast Transit became known as Gold Coast Transit District.

The District was previously a joint powers authority created in 1973 by the Cities of Ojai, Oxnard, Port Hueneme, and San Buenaventura (Ventura) for the purpose of operating a public transportation system within and about western Ventura County. Subsequent to the initial creation of the District, the City of Santa Paula and County of Ventura (the County) were added as participating members. Each of these governments is represented on the District's Board of Directors (the Board).

On October 5, 1994, the City of Santa Paula withdrew from the joint powers authority agreement and surrendered its representation on the Board. Santa Paula's member equity was reallocated to the other members during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.

**B. Basis of Accounting, Measurement Focus, and Financial Reporting**

The basic financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position, the statement of activities and changes in net position, and statement of cash flows) report information on all the activities of the primary government. The District accounts for its operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises – where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

The basic financial statements are reported using the “*economic resources*” measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as all eligibility requirements have been met. Interest associated with the current fiscal period is susceptible to accrual and has been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period.

Operating revenues are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the District. The District reports a measure of operations by presenting the change in net position from operations as *operating income* in the statement of activities and changes in net position. Operating activities are defined by the District as all activities other than financing and investing activities (interest expense and investment income), grants and subsidies, and other infrequently occurring transactions of a non-operating nature. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the District. All other expenses are reported as non-operating expenses.

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), the statement of net position reports separate sections for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, when applicable.

**Deferred Outflows of Resources** represent outflows of resources (consumption of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, will not be recognized as an expense until that time.

**Deferred Inflows of Resources** represent inflows of resources (acquisition of net position) that apply to future periods and that, therefore, are not recognized as a revenue until that time.

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
(Continued)

**B. Basis of Accounting, Measurement Focus, and Financial Reporting (Continued)**

The District reports the following funds:

**Operating Fund** accounts for all revenues and other receipts that are not allocated by law or contractual agreements to some other funds. General operating costs and capital improvement costs that are not paid through other funds are paid from this fund.

Local Transportation Funding, Article No. 4 (LTF), received by the County from the State of California and then subsequently distributed to the District and its member entities based on their requested appropriation throughout the fiscal year is also accounted for in the Operating Fund.

Proposition 1B Grant funding, advanced grant funding received by the District from the State of California Proposition 1B funds for the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) and the Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) are also accounted for in the Operating Fund.

**C. Use of Estimates**

The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

**D. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Substantially all the District's cash is invested in interest bearing cash accounts. The District considers all highly liquid investments with initial maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

**E. Investments**

Changes in fair value that occur during a fiscal year are recognized as unrealized gains or losses and reported for that fiscal year. Investment earnings comprise interest earnings, changes in fair value, and any gains or losses realized upon the liquidation or sale of investments.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and establishes disclosures about fair value measurement. Investments, unless otherwise specified, recorded at fair value on the statement of net position, are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Levels of inputs are as follows:

Level 1 – Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the assets or liabilities through corroboration with market data at the measurement date.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
(Continued)

**F. Materials and Supplies Inventory**

Materials and supplies inventory consist primarily of bus replacement parts, supplies for vehicle maintenance, tires, and oil. Inventory is valued at cost using a weighted average method. Inventory items are charged to expense at the time that individual items are withdrawn from inventory or consumed. The inventory balance was \$734,829 at June 30, 2022.

**G. Prepaid Items**

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs or deposits applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in the basic financial statements. The prepaid balance was \$1,482 at June 30, 2022.

**H. Right-To-Use Leased Asset and Right-To-Use Lease Payable**

The primary objective is to enhance the relevance and consistency of information about the governments' leasing activities. The District has established a single model for lease accounting based on the principle that leases are financings of a right-to-use underlying asset. As a lessee, the District is required to recognize a lease liability (payable) and an intangible right-to-use leased asset. At the commencement of a lease, the District initially measures the lease liability at the present value of payments expected to be made during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease liability is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments made. The leased asset is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease liability, adjusted for lease payments made at or before the lease commencement date, plus certain initial direct costs. Subsequently, the leased asset is amortized on a straight-line basis over its useful life. The District monitors changes in circumstances that would require a remeasurement of its lease and will remeasure the leased asset and lease payable if certain changes occur that are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease payable.

**I. Bond Premiums and Issuance Costs**

Premiums are amortized over the respective lives of debt using the straight-line method.

**J. Capital Assets**

Capital assets are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, except for the portions acquired by contribution, which are recorded at fair value at the time received. The capitalization threshold for any reporting capital assets is \$5,000. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 3 to 30 years, using the straight-line method.

The estimated useful lives of the assets are as follows:

Revenue vehicles – fixed route – 12 years  
Facilities – 15 to 30 years  
Equipment and furniture – 3 to 10 years  
Revenue vehicles – paratransit – 4 to 5 years  
Paratransit equipment – 3 to 5 years

**K. Compensated Absences**

District policy is to permit employees to accumulate earned vacation and sick leave up to a defined maximum amount. Accumulated vacation time is accrued at year-end to account for the District's obligation to the employees for the amount owed. Sick leave can be accumulated, but, under District policy, is not paid until retirement, death, or voluntary termination with a minimum of ten years of service. Payment shall be made in an amount of 50% of accrued sick leave upon retirement, death, or voluntary termination of the qualified employee. Accordingly, 50% of the accumulated sick leave for qualified employees is accrued at year-end to account for the District's obligation for the amount owed.



**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
(Continued)

**L. Pensions**

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plan (the Plan) and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

The following timeframes are used for pension reporting:

CalPERS

Valuation date	June 30, 2021
Measurement date	June 30, 2022
Measurement period	July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022

Gains and losses related to changes in total pension liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in pension expense systematically over time. The first amortized amounts are recognized in pension expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and are to be recognized in future pension expense. The amortization period differs depending on the source of the gain or loss. The difference between projected and actual earnings is amortized straight-line over 5 years. All other amounts are amortized straight-line over the average expected remaining service lives of all members that are provided with benefits (active, inactive, and retired) as of the beginning of the measurement period.

**M. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)**

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's plan (OPEB Plan) and additions to/deductions from the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments are recognized when currently due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes.

U.S. GAAP requires that the reported results pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. For this report, the following timeframes are used:

Valuation Date:	June 30, 2021
Measurement Date:	June 30, 2021
Measurement Period:	July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021

**N. Grant Funding**

Grants for operating assistance and capital acquisitions are included in their respective non-operating sections of the statement of activities and changes in net position. Grant funds are claimed on a reimbursement basis and receivables for grant funds are recorded as the related obligations are incurred. Grant funds advanced but not yet earned are treated as unearned revenue until the respective obligations these grants were funded for are incurred.

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
(Continued)

**O. Unearned – Local Transportation Funding**

Authorized and received Local Transportation Funds (LTF) that exceed current year expenditure requirements are deferred to future periods.

**P. Non-Operating Revenues**

The District receives LTF under provisions of the State of California's Transportation Development Act of 1971 (TDA). This act provides that a portion of state sales tax proceeds be made available for support and development of public transportation. These funds are generated within the County and are allocated based on annual claims filed by the District and approved by the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC). A portion of these proceeds (at the discretion of the District's Board) may be set aside to fund capital acquisitions and is classified as local transportation funding in the non-operating section of the statement of activities and changes in net position. The remaining portion of local transportation funding is used to subsidize current operations and is also included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of activities and changes in net position.

Under provisions of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, signed into law on December 4, 2015, Federal planning and capital assistance grants (under Section 5307) are made available to local urbanized mass transportation systems on a formula basis. Federal operating and matching grants provided to the District under this act are included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of activities and changes in net position.

Federal, state, and local operating and capital grants are included in the non-operating revenue section of the statement of activities and changes in net position.

**Q. Net Position**

In the statement of net position, net position is categorized in the following categories:

**Net Investment in Capital Assets** – This amount consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by any outstanding debt attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

**Restricted Net Position** – This amount consists of net position with constraints placed on its use through external constraints imposed by external creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

**Unrestricted Net Position** – This amount consists of net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets" or "restricted net position."

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources for the purposes intended, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

**R. Reclassifications**

Certain amounts from the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

**NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**  
(Continued)

**S. New Pronouncements – Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB)**

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District implemented a new pronouncement as follows:

**GASB Statement No. 87 - Leases**

The objective of this Statement is to better meet the information needs of financial statement users by improving accounting and financial reporting for leases by governments. This Statement increases the usefulness of governments' financial statements by requiring recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. The requirements of this Statement were effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. However, **GASB NOTE 1 – REPORTING ENTITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

Statement No. 95 postponed its effective date by 18 months due to the COVID-19 pandemic and its effect on the audit/accounting industry. The District adopted the Statement as of July 1, 2021. See Note 18 for the effect of this Statement.

**NOTE 2 – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS**

Cash and investments as June 30, 2022 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Cash and investments	\$ 6,711,921
Restricted - cash and investments	<u>5,416,007</u>
Total cash and investments	<u>\$ 12,127,928</u>

Cash, cash equivalents, and investments as of June 30, 2022 consist of the following:

Cash on hand	\$ 670
Deposits held with financial institutions	1,236,568
Investments	<u>10,890,690</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents, and investments	<u>\$ 12,127,928</u>

**A. Demand Deposits**

The California Government Code requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure an entity's deposits by pledging government securities with a value of 110% of an entity's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure entity deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of an entity's total deposits. The entity's Treasurer may waive the collateral requirement for deposits which are fully insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The collateral for deposits in federal and state-chartered banks is held in safekeeping by an authorized agent of depository recognized by the State of California Department of Banking. The collateral for deposits with savings and loan associations is generally held in safekeeping by the Federal Home Loan Bank in San Francisco, California, as an agent of depository. These securities are physically held in an undivided pool for all California public agency depositors. Under Government Code Section 53655, the placement of securities by a bank or savings and loan association with an agent of depositor has the effect of perfecting the security interest in the name of the local governmental agency. Accordingly, all collateral held by California agents of depository are held for, and in the name of, the local government.

**NOTE 2 – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS** (Continued)**B. Custodial Credit Risk**

The custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District's investment policy requires that collateral be held by an independent third party with whom the District has a current custodial agreement.

The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The District's investment policy requires that all security transactions are conducted on a delivery-versus-payment (DVP) method and that all securities are held by a qualified, third-party custodian, as evidenced by safekeeping receipts. The trust department of the District's bank may act as third-party custodian, provided that the custodian agreement is separate from the banking agreement. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools, such as Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPPIF).

As of June 30, 2022, none of the District's deposits and investments were exposed to disclosable custodial credit risk.

**C. Investments**

The District's investments as of June 30, 2022, are as follows:

Investment Type	Measurement Input	Credit Rating	Fair Value	Remaining Maturity in (Months) 12 Months or Less
LAIF	Uncategorized	N/A	\$ 99,653	\$ 99,653
Money market accounts held with financial institutions	Level 2	AAA	4,965,560	4,965,560
Money market accounts held in trust with debt trustee	Level 2	AAA	2,505,038	2,505,038
VCPPIF - restricted	Level 2	AAAF/S-1+	2,910,969	2,910,969
Total			<u>\$ 10,481,220</u>	<u>\$ 10,481,220</u>

**D. Authorized Investments and Investment Policy**

The District has adopted an investment policy directing the Fiscal Officer to deposit funds in financial institutions. Investments are to be made in the following areas:

External Investment Pools:

LAIF

VCPPIF

Non-negotiable certificates of deposit

Governmental agency securities

**E. Investment in California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)**

The District is a voluntary participant in LAIF which is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying basic financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. Further information about LAIF is available on the California State Controller's website: <https://www.treasurer.ca.gov/pmia-laif/laif.asp>.

## **NOTE 2 – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS** (Continued)

### **E. Investment in California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)** (continued)

The District's investments with LAIF at June 30, 2022 included a portion of the pool funds invested in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities:

**Structured Notes:** debt securities (other than asset-backed securities) whose cash flow characteristics (coupon rate, redemption amount, or stated maturity) depend upon one or more indices and/or that have embedded forwards or options.

**Asset-Backed Securities:** generally, mortgage-backed securities that entitle their purchasers to receive a share of the cash flows from a pool of assets such as principal and interest repayments from a pool of mortgages (for example, Collateralized Mortgage Obligations) or credit card receivables.

The District had \$99,653 invested in LAIF, which had invested 0.75% of the pooled investment funds as of June 30, 2022, in structured notes and medium-term asset-backed securities. The LAIF fair value factor of 0.987125414 was used to calculate the fair value of the investments in LAIF as of June 30, 2022.

### **F. Ventura County Pooled Investment Fund (VCPIF)**

The District is a voluntary participant in the VCPIF and the District determines the amount and term of its investment. The County Treasurer makes investments in accordance with a Statement of Investment Policy reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Supervisors. The Treasury Investment Oversight Committee comprised of the County Treasurer, a representative of the Board of Supervisors, the County Investment Manager, a representative of the County Superintendent of Schools, and other Treasury Department support staff meets semi-annually to review the activities of the Treasurer and provide a report to the Board of Supervisors. Further information about the VCPIF is available on the County Treasurer-Tax Collector's website: [www.ventura.org/ttc/](http://www.ventura.org/ttc/).

The County's Treasurer has indicated to the District that as of June 30, 2022 the value of the County's portfolio was approximately \$3.72 billion. As of June 30, 2022, the District has investment in the VCPIF \$2,910,969. The VCPIF fair value factor of 0.9834 was used to calculate the fair value of the investments in VCPIF as of June 30, 2022.

### **G. Fair Value Measurement Input**

The District categorizes its fair value measurement inputs within the fair value hierarchy established by U.S. GAAP. The District has presented its measurement inputs as noted in the table above.

### **H. Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. As of June 30, 2022, the District's investment in the LAIF was not rated as noted in the table above.

### **I. Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District's investment policy follows the California Government Code as it relates to limits on investment maturities as a means of managing exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District has elected to use the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for the maturities of its investments as related to interest rate risk as noted in the table above.

**NOTE 2 – CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS** (Continued)**J. Concentration of Credit Risk**

The District's investment policy contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one governmental agency or non-governmental issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. There were no investments in any one governmental or non-governmental issuer that represented 5% or more of the District's total investments except for those in LAIF or the VCPIF.

**NOTE 3 – RESTRICTED ASSETS**

Restricted assets as June 30, 2022 were classified in the accompanying basic financial statements as follows:

Restricted - cash and investments	\$ 5,416,007
Total restricted assets	<u>\$ 5,416,007</u>

Restricted assets as of June 30, 2022 consisted of the following:

Proceeds from debt issuance	\$ 2,505,038
Ventura County pooled investment fund	<u>2,910,969</u>
Total restricted assets	<u>\$ 5,416,007</u>

**NOTE 4 – CAPITAL ASSETS**

Summary of change in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2022 was as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2022
Capital assets, not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 8,981,061	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,981,061
Construction in Progress	-	38,297	-	38,297
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>8,981,061</u>	<u>38,297</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>9,019,358</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:				
Buildings and improvements	50,299,775	-	(6,479,950)	43,819,825
Vehicles and equipment	39,593,598	3,799,955	(587,480)	42,806,073
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>89,893,373</u>	<u>3,799,955</u>	<u>(7,067,430)</u>	<u>86,625,898</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings and improvements	(8,962,989)	(1,211,349)	6,453,642	(3,720,696)
Vehicles and equipment	(26,529,408)	(2,182,349)	521,067	(28,190,690)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(35,492,397)</u>	<u>(3,393,698)</u>	<u>6,974,709</u>	<u>(31,911,386)</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>54,400,976</u>	<u>406,257</u>	<u>(92,721)</u>	<u>54,714,512</u>
Capital assets, net	<u>\$ 63,382,037</u>	<u>\$ 444,554</u>	<u>\$ (92,721)</u>	<u>\$ 63,733,870</u>

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2022 was \$3,393,698.

**NOTE 5 - RIGHT-TO-USE LEASE ASSET AND RIGHT-TO-USE LEASE LIABILITY**

Changes in right-to-use lease asset for fiscal year ending June 30, 2022 was as follows:

<b>Description</b>	<b>Balance July 1, 2021</b>	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Deletions</b>	<b>Balance June 30, 2022</b>
Right-to-use leased assets:				
Buildings and improvements	<u>\$ 65,587</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 65,587</u>
Accumulated amortization:				
Buildings and improvements	<u>-</u>	<u>(16,255)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(16,255)</u>
Total right-to-use leased asset, net	<u>\$ 65,587</u>	<u>\$ (16,255)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 49,332</u>

Changes in right-to-use lease payable for fiscal year ending June 30, 2022 was as follows:

<b>Balance July 1, 2021</b>	<b>Additions</b>	<b>Payments</b>	<b>Balance June 30, 2022</b>	<b>Current Portion</b>	<b>Long-term Portion</b>
<u>\$ 65,587</u>	<u>\$ 18,480</u>	<u>\$ (15,458)</u>	<u>\$ 68,609</u>	<u>\$ 15,812</u>	<u>\$ 52,797</u>

Annual debt service requirements for the right-to-use lease liability are as follows:

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b>Interest</b>	<b>Total</b>
2023	\$ 15,812	\$ 2,281	\$ 18,093
2024	16,628	1,762	18,390
2025	17,640	1,072	18,712
2026	12,615	453	13,068
2027	5,914	53	5,967
Total	68,609	<u>\$ 5,621</u>	<u>\$ 74,230</u>
Current	<u>\$ 15,812</u>		
Long-term	<u>\$ 52,797</u>		

The District is reporting a total right-to-use leased asset, net of \$49,332 and a right-to-use lease payable of \$68,609 for the year ending June 30, 2022. Also, the District is reporting total amortization expense of \$16,255, principal payments of \$15,458 and interest expense of \$2,283 related to the above noted lease.

The lease held by the District does not have an implicit rate of return, therefore the District used their incremental borrowing rate of 4.00% to discount the lease payments to the net present value. In some cases, leases contain termination clauses. In these cases, the clause requires the lessee or lessor to show cause to terminate the lease.

The District's lease is summarized as follows:

GCTD entered into a lease with the City of Oxnard for the Customer Service Center located at 201 E. Fourth Street, Suite 103A on December 6, 2016. The term of the lease is January 1, 2017 – December 31, 2026. The base rent started the term at \$997.14 per month. There is annual rate adjustment beginning in October 2019 equal to the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U) for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County California area as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for each twelve (12) month period provided that no annual increase will be greater than three percent (3%).

**NOTE 5 - RIGHT-TO-USE LEASE ASSET AND RIGHT-TO-USE LEASE LIABILITY (continued)**

A second lease with the City of Oxnard for the term of September 1, 2019 – August 31, 2022 for the Bus Operator breakroom at 201 E. Fourth Street, Suite 206B on August 5, 2019. An extension of the lease was executed through August 31, 2025. The base rent at the start of the term was \$468.00 per month. There is annual rate adjustment beginning in October 2019 equal to the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U) for the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim California area as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for each twelve (12) month period provided that no annual increase will be greater than five percent (5%).

**NOTE 6 – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED EXPENSES**

Accounts payable and accrued expenses at June 30, 2022 consist of the following amounts:

Accounts payable - vendors	\$ 918,207
Accrued wages and benefits	<u>1,083,368</u>
Total	<u>\$ 2,001,575</u>

**NOTE 7 – UNEARNED – LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDING**

In accordance with TDA statutes and the California Code of Regulations, Title 21, Chapter 3, Subchapter 2, Article 5, Section 6649(b), LTF received for operating assistance in excess of the amount that the District is eligible to receive is recorded as an unearned revenue and is to be recognized as revenue and a reduction of eligible LTF during the following fiscal years.

Unearned – LTF was \$0 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. There was \$495,262 unearned at June 30, 2021 which was recognized in LTF revenues when used during FY 2021-22.

**NOTE 8 – COMPENSATED ABSENCES**

Compensated absences comprise unpaid vacation leave, sick leave, and compensated time off which is accrued as earned. The District's liability for compensated absences is determined annually. Changes in the compensated absences balance for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 is as follows:

Balance July 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2022	Current Balance	Noncurrent Balance
<u>\$ 1,070,475</u>	<u>\$ 594,425</u>	<u>\$ (551,375)</u>	<u>\$ 1,113,525</u>	<u>\$ 914,897</u>	<u>\$ 198,628</u>

**NOTE 9 – CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION**

On March 2, 2017, the District issued Series 2017 Certificates of Participation (2017 COPs) in the par amount of \$22,000,000 for the construction of its new operations and maintenance facility. The District pledged fare box revenues for the repayment of the certificates. The 2017 COPs were issued with coupon interest rates ranging between 4.00% to 5.25% and a net premium on the issuance of \$1,716,093 which is being amortized over the life of the debt service. The 2017 COPs are scheduled to mature on July 1, 2047. Interest payments are due on July 1st and January 1st while principal payments ranging between \$340,000 to \$1,350,000 are due on July 1st each year.

If any Event of Default occurs and is continuing, the Trustee by notice to the District, or the Owners of at least 25% in principal amount of the Certificates by notice to the District and the Trustee (except for an Event of Default as described under clause (c) of Section 8.01 of the Lease Agreement, for which no such notice is required), may declare the principal and accrued interest with respect to the Certificates to be due and payable immediately. Upon a declaration, the principal and accrued interest to the date of the Trustee's declaration of acceleration on the Certificates shall be due and payable. The Trustee may, and



**NOTE 9 – CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION** (Continued)

upon the request of Owners of a majority in principal amount of the Certificates shall, rescind an acceleration and its consequences if all existing Events of Default have been cured or waived, if the rescission would not conflict with any judgment or decree if all payments due the Trustee have been made.

Changes in the certificates of participation balance for the year were as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2021	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2022	Due Within One Year	Due in more than One Year
Certificates of Participation	\$ 20,930,000	\$ (390,000)	\$ 20,540,000	\$ 410,000	\$ 20,130,000
Premium	1,474,826	(54,623)	1,420,203	54,623	1,365,580
Total	<u>\$ 22,404,826</u>	<u>\$ (444,623)</u>	<u>\$ 21,960,203</u>	<u>\$ 464,623</u>	<u>\$ 21,495,580</u>

The certificates of participation payment schedule for amounts due at June 30, 2022 is as follows:

Fiscal Year End	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 410,000	\$ 970,938	\$ 1,380,938
2024	435,000	949,813	\$ 1,384,813
2025	455,000	929,269	\$ 1,384,269
2026	475,000	909,506	\$ 1,384,506
2027	495,000	887,038	\$ 1,382,038
2028-2032	2,905,000	4,014,156	\$ 10,629,824
2033-2037	3,655,000	3,263,350	\$ 6,918,350
2038-2042	4,540,000	2,587,425	\$ 7,127,425
2043-2047	5,535,000	1,094,250	\$ 6,629,250
2048	<u>1,350,000</u>	<u>33,750</u>	<u>\$ 1,383,750</u>
Total	<u>\$ 20,255,000</u>	<u>\$ 15,639,495</u>	<u>\$ 35,894,495</u>

**NOTE 10 – OPEB****A. General Information about the OPEB Plan**

**Plan Description** – The District provides retiree healthcare benefits for employees who retire with CalPERS pension benefits immediately upon retirement from the District. The District's OPEB Plan is a single-employer plan. Eligible retirees and dependents may elect lifetime coverage through the District's healthcare plans. The District pays a portion of the cost of health insurance for retirees under any group plan offered by CalPERS, subject to certain restrictions as determined by the District. Retirees pay the portion of premium not paid by the District.

The District has elected to use the entry age normal cost method with unfunded liabilities amortized over 30 years and continues to fund on a pay-as-you-go basis.

**Employees Covered** – As of the June 30, 2021 valuation, the following current and former employees were covered by the benefit terms for the OPEB Plan:

Active employees	189
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	17
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefit payments	-
	<u>206</u>

## NOTE 10 – OPEB (Continued)

### A. General Information about the OPEB Plan (Continued)

**Contributions** – The contribution requirements are established and amended by the District. The contribution is based on pay-as-you-go financing requirements. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 the District contributed \$0 to the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust Fund (CERBT) irrevocable trust and \$27,158 for member expenses as the pay-as-you-go portion, resulting in total payments of \$27,158.

**Net OPEB Liability** – The District's net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was the plan fiduciary net position of the CERBT held with CalPERS. The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used:

Valuation date	June 30, 2021
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Actuarial assumptions:	
Discount rate	3.50%
Inflation rate	2.50%
Real GDP Per Capita Growth	1.50%
Excess Medical Cost Growth	1.10%
Health Share of GDP Resistance Point	25.00%
Year for Limited Cost Growth to GDP Growth	2075
Trend rate	Various <sup>(1)</sup>
Salary increases	2.80% per annum, in aggregate
Investment rate of return	7.00%
Mortality rate table	See Footnote <sup>(2)</sup>
Retirement rate	See Footnote <sup>(2)</sup>
Termination	See Footnote <sup>(2)</sup>
Spousal election	See Footnote <sup>(3)</sup>
	35% of active employees assumed to elect coverage at retirement
Participation rate	Age 65
Medicare eligibility	

<sup>(1)</sup> The health care trend rates reflect a more recent Getzen Model of Long-Run medical Cost Trends and historical medical inflation data. Medical/prescription drug: from 4.00% for all years to Getzen 2021 table that reflects actual premium increases from 2021 to 2022 followed by 5.75% in 2022 that decreases gradually to an ultimate rate of 4.04% in 2075. PCMHCA minimum contribution: from 4.00% to 4.20% followed by 3.50% for all subsequent years.

<sup>(2)</sup> Derived using CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Studies published in November 2021 for Public Agency Miscellaneous members.

<sup>(3)</sup> For future retirees, 50% of male and 20% of female active employees are assumed to elect spousal coverage at retirement. Husbands are assumed to be three years older than wives.

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB Plan investments was determined using a building block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB Plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

**NOTE 10 – OPEB** (Continued)**A. General Information about the OPEB Plan** (Continued)

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Target Allocation</u>	<u>Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return</u>
Equity	59.00%	4.10%
Debt Securities	25.00%	1.05%
Real Estate Investment Trusts	8.00%	3.54%
Commodities	3.00%	1.79%
Treasury Inflation Protected Securities	5.00%	0.02%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	
<b>Long-term expected rate of return</b>		<b>7.00%</b>

**Discount Rate** – The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 3.50 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the District's contributions will be sufficient to fully fund the obligation over a period not to exceed 34 years. Historic 34-year real rates of return for each asset class along with the assumed long-term inflation assumption were used to set the discount rate. The expected investment return was offset by the investment expenses of 13 basis points. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected OPEB payments for current active and inactive employees and beneficiaries.

Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on OPEB Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

**Changes in the OPEB Liability** – The changes in the net OPEB liability for the OPEB Plan are as follows:

	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>		
	<u>Total OPEB Liability</u>	<u>OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position</u>	<u>Net OPEB Liability/ (Asset)</u>
Balance at June 30, 2020, Measurement Date	<u>\$ 853,577</u>	<u>\$ 683,730</u>	<u>\$ 169,847</u>
Changes in the Year:			
Service Cost	44,855	-	44,855
Interest on the Total OPEB Liability	61,956	188,005	(126,049)
Contribution - Employer	-	27,158	(27,158)
Experience Gains/Losses	123,071	-	123,071
Administrative Expenses	-	(259)	259
Assumption Changes	1,548,465		1,548,465
Benefit Payments	<u>(27,158)</u>	<u>(27,158)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Changes	<u>1,751,189</u>	<u>187,746</u>	<u>1,563,443</u>
Balance at June 30, 2021, Measurement Date	<u>\$ 2,604,766</u>	<u>\$ 871,476</u>	<u>\$ 1,733,290</u>

**NOTE 10 – OPEB** (Continued)**A. General Information about the OPEB Plan** (Continued)

**Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate** – The following presents the net OPEB liability of the District if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate, for the measurement period ended June 30, 2021:

1% Decrease 2.50%	Current Discount Rate 3.50%	1% Increase 4.50%
<u>\$ 2,181,517</u>	<u>\$ 1,733,290</u>	<u>\$ 1,373,449</u>

**Sensitivity of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rates** – The following presents the net OPEB liability, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates as of June 30, 2021:

1% Decrease 2.50%	Current Discount Rate 3.50%	1% Increase 4.50%
<u>\$ 2,181,517</u>	<u>\$ 1,733,290</u>	<u>\$ 1,373,449</u>

**OPEB Plan Fiduciary Net Position** – Detailed information about the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

**Recognition of Deferred Outflows and Deferred Inflows of Resources** – Gains and losses related to changes in total OPEB liability and fiduciary net position are recognized in OPEB expense systematically over time.

Amounts are first recognized in OPEB expense for the year the gain or loss occurs. The remaining amounts are categorized as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB and are to be recognized in future OPEB expense.

**OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB** – For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$27,158. As of fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
OPEB Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date	\$ 59,375	\$ -
Investment (Gains)/Losses	-	(96,893)
Experience (Gains)/Losses	116,946	(312,885)
Assumption Changes	1,393,618	-
Total	<u>\$ 1,569,939</u>	<u>\$ (409,778)</u>

## **NOTE 11 – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND THE DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN**

### **A. General Information about the Pension Plan**

#### ***Plan Description***

The District contributes to CalPERS, an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions under the Plan are established by State statute and District resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions, and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website or may be obtained from their executive office: 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814. These reports and CalPERS' audited financial statements are publicly available reports that can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

#### ***Benefits Provided***

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments (COLA), and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full-time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The COLAs for the plan are applied as specified by the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL).

On September 12, 2012, the California Governor signed the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) into law. PEPRA took effect January 1, 2013. The new legislation closed the District's CalPERS 2.7% at 55 Risk Pool Retirement Plan to new employee entrants effective December 31, 2012. For employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, who are current members of CalPERS or a reciprocal agency as of December 31, 2012 and have not been separated from service from such agency for more than six months, the retirement benefit is 2.7% at 55 years of age, highest annual average compensation during any consecutive 36-month period (3-year final compensation). For all other employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, the retirement benefit is 2.0% at 62 years of age, 3-year final compensation.

However, California Assembly Bill (AB) 1222 (Chapter 527, Statutes 2013) was signed by Governor Brown on Friday, October 4, 2013. This bill exempted California transit employees of public employers from all of the provisions of PEPRA, until January 1, 2015, or until a court determined that the provisions of PEPRA do not violate specified federal transit labor laws, whichever is sooner. This legislation allowed for a PEPRA exemption for eligible transit employees from public agencies subject to Section 13(c) of the Federal Transit Act.

The eventual decision in the State of California v. United States Department of Labor (E.D. Cal. Dec. 30, 2014, Civ. No. 2:13-cv-2069 KJM DAD) ended the exemption from PEPRA for transit workers resulting from AB 1222 (codified in Government Code Section 7522.02, subsection (a)(3)).

In its December 30, 2014 decision, the court concluded that the U.S. Department of Labor erred in determining that PEPRA prevented certification under Section 13(c) of the Uniform Mass Transportation Act. Under Section 7522.02(a)(3)(A), the court's decision triggers the end of the exemption.

All transit employees with appointments starting on or after January 1, 2013 through December 29, 2014, were to retain their classic retirement benefits for that period of time. CalPERS created new transit employee PEPRA appointments using a December 30, 2014 effective date for those employees. All new members hired on or after December 30, 2014, will be subject to PEPRA retirement benefits.

**NOTE 11 – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND THE DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN** (Continued)**A. General Information about the Pension Plans** (Continued)***Benefits Provided*** (Continued)

The District has engaged with CalPERS to administer the following pension plan for its employees (members).

The Plan's provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2021, (Measurement Date) are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous Plan	
	Tier 1	Tier 2
	Prior to January 1, 2013	On or after January 1, 2013
Hire Date		
Benefit Formula	2.7% @55	2.0% @62
Benefit Vesting Schedule	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit Payments	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement Age	50-55	52-67
Monthly Benefits, as a Percentage of Eligible Compensation	2.0% to 2.7%	1.0% to 2.5%
Required Employee Contribution Rates	8.000%	6.750%
Required Employer Contribution Rates	10.840%	10.840%

***Employees Covered***

At June 30, 2022, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms for the Plan:

Active employees	186
Transferred and terminated employees	208
Retired employees and beneficiaries	<u>134</u>
Total	528

***Contributions***

Section 20814(c) of the PERL requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total Plan contributions are determined through CalPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The employer is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

Employer contribution rates may change if plan contracts are amended. It is the responsibility of the employer to make necessary accounting adjustments to reflect the impact due to any Employer Paid Member Contributions or situations where members are paying a portion of the employer contribution.

As of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the contributions for the Plan were as follows:

Contributions - employer	\$ 2,412,586
Contributions - employee member	<u>889,854</u>
Total	<u>\$ 3,302,440</u>

**NOTE 11 – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND THE DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN** (Continued)**B. Net Pension Liability*****Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability***

For the measurement period ended June 30, 2021, the total pension liability was determined by rolling forward the June 30, 2020 total pension liability. The June 30, 2021 total pension liability was based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2020
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	7.15%
Inflation	2.50%
Salary Increases	Varies by Entry Age and Service <sup>(1)</sup>
Payroll Growth	2.75%
Investment Rate of Return	7.00% <sup>(2)</sup>
Mortality Rate Table	Derived using CalPERS' Membership Data for all Funds <sup>(3)</sup>
Post Retirement Benefit Increase	The lesser of contract COLA or 2.5% until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance floor on purchasing power applies, 2.5% thereafter

<sup>(1)</sup> Depending on age, service, and type of employment.

<sup>(2)</sup> Net of pension plan investment and administrative expenses, including inflation.

<sup>(3)</sup> The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS-specific data. The probabilities are based on the 2017 CalPERS Experience Study for the period from 1997 to 2015. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 15 years of projected mortality improvement using 90% of Scale MP-2016 published by the Society of Actuaries.

***Discount Rate***

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability as of June 30, 2021 was 7.15 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from Plan members will be made at the current member contribution rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. In determining the long-term expected rate of return, both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows were considered. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years.

**NOTE 11 – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND THE DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN** (Continued)**B. Net Pension Liability** (Continued)**Discount Rate** (Continued)

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 - 10 <sup>(a)</sup>	Real Return Years 11+ <sup>(b)</sup>
Public Equity	50.00%	4.80%	5.98%
Fixed Income	28.00%	1.00%	2.62%
Inflation Assets	0.00%	0.77%	1.81%
Private Equity	8.00%	6.30%	7.23%
Real Assets	13.00%	3.75%	4.93%
Liquidity	1.00%	0.00%	-0.92%
Total	100.00%		

<sup>(a)</sup> An expected inflation of 2.00% used for this period.

<sup>(b)</sup> An expected inflation of 2.92% used for this period.

**C. Changes in the Net Pension Liability**

The following table shows the changes in net pension liability recognized over the year ended June 30, 2021 measurement period.

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)
Balance at June 30, 2020 (Valuation Date)	\$ 63,192,210	\$ 48,661,207	\$ 14,531,003
Changes Recognized:			
Service Cost	1,893,647	-	1,893,647
Interest on the Total Pension Liability	4,538,545	-	4,538,545
Assumption Changes	-	-	-
Differences between Actual and Expected Experience	917,859	-	917,859
Contributions - Employer	-	2,412,586	(2,412,586)
Contributions - Employee	-	885,976	(885,976)
Net Investment Income	-	11,033,027	(11,033,027)
Administrative Expense	-	(48,610)	48,610
Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions	(3,161,478)	(3,161,478)	-
Net Changes	4,188,573	11,121,501	(6,932,928)
Balance at June 30, 2021 (Measurement Date)	\$ 67,380,783	\$ 59,782,708	\$ 7,598,075



**NOTE 11 – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND THE DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN** (Continued)**D. Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate**

The following presents the net pension liability of the Plan, calculated using the discount rate of 7.15%, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.15%) or one percentage point higher (8.15%) than the current rate:

Plan's Net Pension Liability/(Asset)		
Discount Rate - 1% (6.15%)	Current Discount Rate (7.15%)	Discount Rate + 1% (8.15%)
<u>\$ 16,483,653</u>	<u>\$ 7,598,075</u>	<u>\$ 245,869</u>

**E. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position**

Detailed information about the Plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial report.

**F. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions**

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District incurred a pension expense of \$919,181 for the Plan. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions as follows:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Employer contributions to pension plan made after the measurement date	\$ 2,655,973	\$ -
Difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	1,102,428	-
Assumption changes	-	-
Net difference between projected and actual experience	-	(5,460,073)
Total	<u>\$ 3,758,401</u>	<u>\$ (5,460,073)</u>

The \$2,655,973 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability, as determined by CalPERS, in the measurement year ended June 30, 2022. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

Measurement Period Ended June 30	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2022	\$ (856,363)
2023	(935,088)
2024	(1,078,275)
2025	(1,487,919)
2026	-
Thereafter	-
Total	<u>\$ (4,357,645)</u>

**NOTE 12 – NET INVESTMENT IN CAPITAL ASSETS**

The net investment in capital assets balance consisted of the following balances at June 30, 2022:

Net investment in capital assets:

Right-to-use leased assets - being amortized, net	\$ 67,812
Capital assets - not being depreciated	9,019,358
Capital assets, net - being depreciated	54,714,511
Right-to-use leased payable - current portion	(15,812)
Right-to-use leased payable - non-current portion	(52,797)
Certificates of participation	(21,960,203)
Total net investment in capital assets	<u>\$ 41,772,869</u>

**NOTE 13 – RESTRICTED NET POSITION**

LTF granted for operating assistance is to be used to purchase new buses, fare boxes, coach equipment, facility and other improvements as part of a service expansion program, and related interest earnings included in restricted net position at June 30, 2022, are as follows:

Amounts held for capital grants	\$ 35,221
Restricted for capital acquisition	<u>2,910,969</u>
	\$ 2,946,190
Restricted for debt service	<u>2,217,143</u>
Total restricted net position	<u>\$ 5,163,333</u>

**NOTE 14 – UNRESTRICTED (DEFICIT) NET POSITION**

As of June 30, 2022, the District has an unrestricted net position deficit of \$663,860. Due to the nature of the deficit from the net pension liability of \$7,598,074, the District will continue to make its annual required contributions to CalPERS and annually review its outstanding net pension obligation funding requirements for future periods to reduce the deficit net position.

**NOTE 15 – STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA) FUNDING**

STA funding comes from the Public Transportation Act (PTA) which derives its revenue from the state sales tax on gasoline. These funds are designated as discretionary or formula. The former is appropriated by the legislature. The latter is a formula based upon population and fares generated. The District utilizes STA funding to fund a combination of operations and capital asset purchases.

STA funding received by the District for FY2021-22 to fund operations was \$300,300. There was \$59,738 of STA funding receivable recognized in due from other governmental agencies at June 30, 2022.

**NOTE 16 – OTHER STATE ASSISTANCE****A. Proposition 1B Grant (Prop. 1B)**

The California Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA), approved by the voters as Proposition 1B (Prop. 1B) in November 2006, authorized the issuance of \$19.9 billion in general obligation bonds for the purpose of improving highway safety, traffic reduction, air quality, and port security. The District utilizes this funding for various operating and capital asset projects.

**NOTE 16 – OTHER STATE ASSISTANCE (Continued)****B. Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account**

In November 2006, California voters passed a bond measure enacting the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006. Of the \$19.925 billion of state general obligation bonds authorized, \$4 billion was set aside by the State as instructed by statute as the PTMISEA. These funds are available to the California Department of Transportation for intercity rail projects and to transit operators in California for rehabilitation, safety, or modernization improvements; capital service enhancements or expansions; new capital projects; bus rapid transit improvements; or for rolling stock procurement, rehabilitation, or replacement. The District utilizes this funding for various operating capital asset projects.

**C. Low Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP)**

LCTOP is one of several programs that are part of the Transit, Affordable Housing, and Sustainable Communities Program established by the California Legislature in 2014 by Senate Bill 862. The LCTOP was created to provide operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emission and improve mobility, with a priority on serving disadvantaged communities. Approved projects in LCTOP will support new or expanded bus or rail services, expand intermodal transit facilities, and may include equipment acquisition, fueling, maintenance, and other costs to operate those services or facilities, with each project reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Senate Bill 862 continuously appropriates five percent of the annual auction proceeds in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for LCTOP, beginning in fiscal year 2015-16. The District requested and received funding for a project in the year ended June 30, 2022.

**D. State of Good Repair (SGR)**

The Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), signed by the Governor on April 28, 2017, includes a program that will provide additional revenues for transit infrastructure repair and service improvements. SGR funding received by the District for FY 2021-22 was \$ 43,820 and was used for engine replacements. There was \$7,369 SGR funding recognized as due from other governmental agencies at June 30, 2022.

Other State Assistance received and utilized for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, was as follows:

	Prop. 1B Grant	PTMISEA	LCTOP	Total
Beginning net position - July 1, 2021	\$ 177,718	\$ 22,379	\$ 74,229	\$ 274,326
Proceeds received	-	-	333,837	333,837
Capital assets program purchases:				
Replacement buses/engines	(177,718)	(22,471)	-	(200,189)
Fare Support - Token Transit	-	-	(372,884)	(372,884)
Total capital asset program purchases	(177,718)	(22,471)	(372,884)	(573,073)
Investment earnings allocated	-	92	39	131
Change in net position	(177,718)	(22,379)	(39,008)	(239,105)
Ending net position - June 30, 2022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,221	\$ 35,221

## **NOTE 17 – DEFERRED COMPENSATION SAVINGS PLAN**

For the benefit of its employees, the District participates in three 457 Deferred Compensation Programs (Programs). The purpose of these Programs is to provide deferred compensation for public employees that elect to participate in the Programs. Generally, eligible employees may defer receipt of a portion of their salary until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. Until the funds are paid or otherwise made available to the employee, the employee is not obligated to report the deferred salary for income tax purposes.

Federal law requires deferred compensation assets to be held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants. Accordingly, the District is in compliance with this legislation. Therefore, these assets are not the legal property of the District and are not subject to claims of the District's general creditors. The three program trustees are Nationwide, MissionSquare, and Empower.

The District has implemented GASB Statement No. 32, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans*. Since the District has little administrative involvement and does not perform the investing function for these Programs, the assets and related liabilities are not presented in the accompanying basic financial statements.

## **NOTE 18 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District has purchased various insurance policies to manage the potential liabilities that may occur from the previously named sources.

The District participates in the California Transit Indemnity Pool (CalTIP), a joint powers agency created to provide liability and physical damage insurance to its members through an insurance pool. The District holds property insurance and general and automotive liability with CalTIP up to \$25 million on liability with a \$25,000 self-insurance retention.

The District purchases blanket insurance coverage limits from commercial brokers as follows:

Buildings and structures	\$	23,347,611
Business and property	\$	6,145,000
Boiler and machinery	\$	1,310,000
Earthquake property	\$	27,427,000

The District's employee practices liability insurance coverage is \$2.0 million and handled through Navigators Insurance. Also, the District participates in the California State Association of Counties Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC-EIA), a joint powers agency created to provide workers' compensation insurance to its members through a risk retention insurance pool. The District holds workers' compensation insurance coverage with CSAC-EIA up to statutory limits. Some of the above insurance policies are subject to various deductibles.

Settled claims have not exceeded any of the coverage amounts in any of the last five fiscal years and there were no reductions in the District's insurance coverage during those years. Liabilities are recorded when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated net of the respective insurance coverage. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR).

## **NOTE 19 – COMMITMENTS**

### **A. Excluded Leases – Short-Term Leases and De Minimis Leases**

The District does not recognize a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources for short-term leases. Short-term leases are certain leases that have a maximum possible term under the lease contract of 12-months (or less), including any options to extend, regardless of their probability of being exercised.

Also, *de minimis* lessor or lessee leases are certain leases (i.e., room rental, copiers, printers, postage machines) the regardless of their lease contract period are *de minimis* with regards to their aggregate total dollar amount to the financial statements as a whole.

### **B. Litigation**

In the ordinary course of operations, the District is subject to claims and litigation from outside parties. After consultation with legal counsel, the District believes the ultimate outcome of such matters, if any, will not materially affect its financial condition.

### **C. Grant Funding**

Grant funds received by the District are subject to review by the grantor agencies. Such audit could lead to requests for reimbursements to the grantor agencies for expenditures disallowed under terms of the grant. The management of the District believes that such disallowances, if any, would not be significant.

### **D. Operating Fare Revenue Ratio**

The District is required to maintain a ratio of fares to operating costs of at least 20% for either the combined service of fixed route and paratransit service or meeting the goals separately (i.e., 20% for fixed route, 10% for paratransit service, to continue to be eligible for LTF. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District was not required to meet the minimum fare box recovery requirement due to the COVID-19 pandemic suspension of this regulation. The District met the minimum fixed route and paratransit ratios with 48.77% fixed route and 64.5% paratransit, with a combined fare box recovery ratio of 46.31%.

## **NOTE 20 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

Subsequent events have been evaluated through March 30, 2023, the date these basic financial statements were available to be issued.

On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the outbreak of a coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. In response, the County, followed by the Governor of California, issued a Shelter at Home order effective March 19, 2020, requiring certain non-essential businesses to temporarily close to the public. At the current time, management is unable to quantify the potential effects of this pandemic on its future financial statements.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)**

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
AN AGENT MULTIPLE-EMPLOYER DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN  
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS  
FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

Measurement Period	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15
<b>Total Pension Liability</b>								
Service Cost	\$ 2,159,296	\$ 1,893,647	\$ 1,882,223	\$ 1,878,369	\$ 1,830,138	\$ 1,829,423	\$ 1,569,279	\$ 1,569,756
Interest on Total Pension Liability	4,699,885	4,538,545	4,245,655	3,988,180	3,701,748	3,498,403	3,299,586	3,107,585
Differences between Expected and Actual Experience	(1,111,456)	917,859	348,824	856,414	131,794	5,749	(243,014)	(621,259)
Changes in Assumptions	2,377,097	-	-	-	(344,098)	2,867,527	-	(742,987)
Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions	(3,223,633)	(3,161,478)	(2,748,719)	(2,483,737)	(2,329,043)	(2,214,742)	(1,935,932)	(1,912,604)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	4,901,189	4,188,573	3,727,983	4,239,226	2,990,539	5,986,360	2,689,919	1,400,491
Total Pension Liability - Beginning	\$ 67,380,783	\$ 63,192,210	59,464,227	55,225,001	52,234,462	46,248,102	43,558,183	42,157,692
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	<u>\$ 72,281,972</u>	<u>\$ 67,380,783</u>	<u>\$ 63,192,210</u>	<u>\$ 59,464,227</u>	<u>\$ 55,225,001</u>	<u>\$ 52,234,462</u>	<u>\$ 46,248,102</u>	<u>\$ 43,558,183</u>
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>								
Contributions - Employer	\$ 2,498,252	\$ 2,412,586	\$ 2,113,162	\$ 1,899,815	\$ 1,721,226	\$ 1,635,904	\$ 1,585,400	\$ 1,301,520
Contributions - Employee	822,236	885,976	797,278	798,356	786,067	722,714	731,597	660,103
Net Plan to Plan Resource Movement	-	-	-	-	(100)	-	-	-
Net Investment Income	(4,521,405)	11,033,027	2,311,088	2,865,566	3,391,187	4,019,509	171,677	782,090
Benefit Payments, including Refunds of Employee Contributions	(3,223,633)	(3,161,478)	(2,748,719)	(2,483,737)	(2,329,043)	(2,214,742)	(1,935,932)	(1,912,604)
Administrative Expenses	(37,241)	(48,610)	(65,206)	(30,832)	(62,043)	(52,715)	(21,436)	(39,582)
Other Miscellaneous Income/(Expense)	-	-	-	100	(117,820)	-	-	-
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	(4,461,791)	11,121,501	2,407,603	3,049,268	3,389,474	4,110,670	531,306	791,527
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning	\$ 59,782,708	\$ 48,661,207	46,253,604	43,204,336	39,814,862	35,704,192	35,172,886	34,381,359
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	<u>\$ 55,320,917</u>	<u>\$ 59,782,708</u>	<u>\$ 48,661,207</u>	<u>\$ 46,253,604</u>	<u>\$ 43,204,336</u>	<u>\$ 39,814,862</u>	<u>\$ 35,704,192</u>	<u>\$ 35,172,886</u>
Net Pension Liability - Ending [(a) - (b)]	<u>\$ 16,961,055</u>	<u>\$ 7,598,075</u>	<u>\$ 14,531,003</u>	<u>\$ 13,210,623</u>	<u>\$ 12,020,665</u>	<u>\$ 12,419,600</u>	<u>\$ 10,543,910</u>	<u>\$ 8,385,297</u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability	76.53%	88.72%	77.01%	77.78%	78.23%	76.22%	77.20%	80.75%
Covered Payroll	\$ 11,275,697	\$ 10,920,689	\$ 10,628,408	\$ 10,384,621	\$ 10,177,043	\$ 9,898,406	\$ 9,268,128	\$ 8,714,571
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	150.42%	69.58%	136.72%	127.21%	118.12%	125.47%	113.77%	96.22%

**Notes to Schedule:**

**Benefit changes.** Since 2014 there were no benefit changes. The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2014.

**Changes in assumptions.** Effective with the June 30, 2021 valuation date (2022 measurement date), the accounting discount rate was reduced from 7.15% to 6.90%. In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Projected returns for all asset classes are estimated, combined with risk estimates, and are used to project compound (geometric) returns over the long term. The discount rate used to discount liabilities was informed by the long-term projected portfolio return. In addition, demographic assumptions and the inflation rate assumption were changed in accordance with the 2021 CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions. The accounting discount rate was 7.15% for measurement dates 2017 through 2021, 7.65% for measurement dates 2015 through 2016, and 7.50% for measurement date 2014.



**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
AN AGENT MULTIPLE-EMPLOYER DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN  
SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS – PENSION PLAN  
FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

	2021-22	2020-21	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15
Actuarially Determined Contributions	\$ 2,498,252	\$ 2,412,586	\$ 2,113,162	\$ 1,899,815	\$ 1,721,225	\$ 1,653,604	\$ 1,585,400	\$ 1,301,199
Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contributions	(2,498,252)	(2,412,586)	(2,113,162)	(1,899,815)	(1,721,225)	(1,653,604)	(1,585,400)	(1,301,199)
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Covered Payroll	\$ 10,920,689	\$ 10,628,408	\$ 10,384,621	\$ 10,177,043	\$ 9,898,406	\$ 10,040,567	\$ 9,268,128	\$ 8,714,571
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll	22.88%	22.70%	20.35%	18.67%	17.39%	16.47%	17.11%	14.93%

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET OTHER  
POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS  
FOR THE MEASUREMENT PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2021**

	Measurement Period June 30,			
	2021	2020	2019	2018
<b>Total OPEB Liability</b>				
Total OPEB Liability Beginning	\$ 853,577	\$ 772,836	\$ 1,063,500	\$ 960,816
Changes in the Year:				
Service Cost	44,855	43,130	54,778	53,312
Interest on the Total OPEB Liability	61,956	54,999	75,574	68,455
Experience Gains/Losses	123,071	7,230	(398,490)	-
Changes of assumptions or other inputs	1,548,465	-	-	-
Benefit Payments	(27,158)	(24,618)	(22,526)	(19,083)
Net Changes	1,751,189	80,741	(290,664)	102,684
Total OPEB Liability Ending (a)	<u>\$ 2,604,766</u>	<u>\$ 853,577</u>	<u>\$ 772,836</u>	<u>\$ 1,063,500</u>
<b>Plan Fiduciary Net Position</b>				
Plan Fiduciary Net Position Beginning	\$ 683,730	\$ 660,703	\$ 622,413	\$ 514,825
Changes in the Year:				
Contribution - Employer	27,158	24,618	21,218	86,292
Net Investment Income	188,005	23,350	43,564	38,357
Investment Gains/Losses	-	-	(5,141)	2,789
Administrative Expenses	(259)	(323)	(133)	(957)
Benefit Payments	(27,158)	(24,618)	(21,218)	(19,083)
Other	-	-	-	190
Net Changes	187,746	23,027	38,290	107,588
Plan Fiduciary Net Position Ending (b)	<u>\$ 871,476</u>	<u>\$ 683,730</u>	<u>\$ 660,703</u>	<u>\$ 622,413</u>
Net OPEB Liability ending [(a) - (b)]	<u>\$ 1,733,290</u>	<u>\$ 169,847</u>	<u>\$ 112,133</u>	<u>\$ 441,087</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	33.46%	80.10%	85.49%	58.52%
Covered Payroll	\$ 10,920,689	\$ 10,628,408	\$ 10,384,621	\$ 10,244,305
Net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered payroll	15.87%	1.60%	1.08%	4.31%

**Notes to the Schedule:**

Historical information is required only for measurement periods for which GASB Statement No. 75 is applicable. Future years' information will be displayed up to 10 years as information becomes available.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN LOCAL TRANSPORTATION  
FUNDING ACTIVITY OF THE DISTRICT  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

		<u>Cash and Investments Restricted Balance</u>
Local Transportation Funding		
Beginning balance:		
Liability:		
Unearned local transportation funding - June 30, 2021	\$ 495,262	
Net position:		
Restricted for capital acquisitions - June 30, 2021	<u>3,350,038</u>	
Total beginning balance	<u>\$ 3,845,300</u>	<u>\$ 3,845,300</u>
Current year operating revenue:		
Local transportation funding	\$ 12,959,174	
Member agency refunding of prior years unspent distributions	170,031	
Fiscal year 2019-2020 unearned local transportation funding portion recognized as revenue	<u>495,262</u>	<u>(495,262)</u>
Fiscal Year 2021-2022 local transportation funds revenue recognized	<u>\$ 13,624,467</u>	
Current year capital revenue:		
Local capital grants - interest earnings	\$ 11,586	
Local capital grants - market valuation of investment	<u>(49,110)</u>	
Fiscal year 2021-2022 local capital grants revenue recognized	<u>\$ (37,524)</u>	<u>(37,524)</u>
Current year operating uses and capital acquisitions:		
Operations - current year unused local transportation funds	(60,972)	60,972
Capital acquisitions - current year use of local transportation funds	<u>462,517</u>	<u>(462,517)</u>
Current year change in net position		<u>(439,069)</u>
Total ending balance		<u>\$ 2,910,969</u>
Ending balance:		
Liability:		
Unearned local transportation funding - June 30, 2022	\$ -	
Net position:		
Restricted for capital acquisitions - June 30, 2022	<u>2,910,969</u>	
Total ending balance	<u>\$ 2,910,969</u>	<u>\$ 2,910,969</u>

**STATISTICAL SECTION  
(UNAUDITED)**

This page intentionally left blank.

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
FINANCIAL RATIOS  
JUNE 30, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2022**

**Current Ratio:**

Measures the District's ability to meet current commitments by dividing current assets by current liabilities.

			Ratio
2022	Current Assets	\$ 12,529,170	3.22:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 3,887,501	
2021	Current Assets	\$ 12,445,955	3.01:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 4,130,059	
2020	Current Assets	\$ 13,528,315	2.51:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 5,382,388	
2019	Current Assets	\$ 7,084,673	1.17:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 6,046,565	
2018	Current Assets	\$ 8,364,115	0.61:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 13,600,652	
2017	Current Assets	\$ 9,882,036	0.99:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 9,983,839	
2016	Current Assets	\$ 11,335,824	1.09:1
	Current Liabilities	\$ 10,432,628	

**Quick Ratio:**

This variation of the current ratio is an indicator of the District's liquidity by including only those current assets that could be converted readily to cash and receivables due within 30 days.

			Ratio
2022	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 6,711,921	1.84:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 427,750	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 3,887,501	
2021	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 9,214,791	2.86:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 2,612,931	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 4,130,059	
2020	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 4,537,523	2.40:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 8,377,011	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 5,382,388	
2019	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 3,777,364	0.90:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 2,604,119	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 7,084,673	
2018	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 7,125,279	0.57:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 646,912	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 13,600,652	
2017	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 5,378,788	0.93:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 3,923,512	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 9,983,839	
2016	Cash and Cash Equivalents Plus	\$ 5,856,275	1.02:1
	Receivables Within 30 Days	\$ 4,755,284	
	Current Liabilities	\$ 10,432,628	



**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
FINANCIAL RATIOS  
JUNE 30, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2022**

**Debt Ratio:**

Reflects the long-term solvency risk, in assessing the District's financial capacity to meet long-term debts and similar obligations, by dividing total liabilities by total assets.

			<u>Ratio</u>
2022	Total Liabilities	\$ 34,965,870	42.77%
	Total Assets	\$ 81,746,859	
2021	Total Liabilities	\$ 40,979,982	50.13%
	Total Assets	\$ 81,750,719	
2020	Total Liabilities	\$ 41,595,375	49.14%
	Total Assets	\$ 84,639,142	
2019	Total Liabilities	\$ 41,786,433	53.64%
	Total Assets	\$ 77,896,448	
2018	Total Liabilities	\$ 50,278,180	58.79%
	Total Assets	\$ 85,524,381	
2017	Total Liabilities	\$ 44,616,846	61.33%
	Total Assets	\$ 72,743,765	
2016	Total Liabilities	\$ 19,198,398	43.28%
	Total Assets	\$ 44,362,326	

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
REVENUES AND EXPENSES – TEN YEAR COMPARISON  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>
Operations:					
Passenger Fares	\$ 3,148,100	\$ 3,714,914	\$ 4,022,983	\$ 3,369,769	\$ 3,482,127
Operating Expenses	(16,642,267)	(18,531,482)	(19,381,448)	(20,547,884)	(22,113,345)
Depreciation Expense	<u>(2,924,100)</u>	<u>(2,519,756)</u>	<u>(2,405,787)</u>	<u>(2,843,634)</u>	<u>(2,919,180)</u>
Operating Loss	<u>(16,418,267)</u>	<u>(17,336,324)</u>	<u>(17,764,252)</u>	<u>(20,021,749)</u>	<u>(21,550,398)</u>
Non-Operating Revenues:					
Local Transportation Funds	8,976,087	9,631,812	8,869,456	10,601,709	13,338,152
State Funds	196,076	192,000	174,425	207,973	153,094
Federal Funds	4,074,383	4,733,271	5,469,611	4,930,720	4,335,128
Investment Earnings	15,758	13,885	12,449	15,816	22,295
Other Income/(Expense), Net	<u>231,864</u>	<u>245,601</u>	<u>832,524</u>	<u>1,421,897</u>	<u>465,139</u>
Total Non-Operating Revenues	<u>13,494,168</u>	<u>14,816,569</u>	<u>15,358,465</u>	<u>17,178,115</u>	<u>18,313,808</u>
Net Loss	<u>\$ (2,924,099)</u>	<u>\$ (2,519,755)</u>	<u>\$ (2,405,787)</u>	<u>\$ (2,843,634)</u>	<u>\$ (3,236,590)</u>

***Operating Expenses - Actual Dollars Compared to Constant Dollars (Over Ten Year Period)***

	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>
Actual Dollars	\$ 16,642,267	\$ 18,531,482	\$ 19,381,448	\$ 20,547,881	\$ 22,113,345
Constant Dollars (2007)	\$ 15,115,249	\$ 16,533,584	\$ 17,155,881	\$ 17,873,084	\$ 18,821,400
CPI Percent Change	1.4%	1.8%	0.8%	1.8%	2.2%
Index Number (1982 = 100)	239.2	243.5	245.5	249.8	255.3
Cumulative Percent	10.1%	12.1%	13.0%	15.0%	17.5%

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
REVENUES AND EXPENSES – TEN YEAR COMPARISON (Continued)  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>FY 2020</u>	<u>FY 2021</u>	<u>FY 2022</u>
Operations:					
Passenger Fares	\$ 3,403,877	\$ 3,357,045	\$ 3,481,222	\$ 1,242,945	\$ 4,459,112
Operating Expenses	(23,853,669)	(24,716,841)	(27,671,728)	(26,602,341)	(28,197,799)
Depreciation Expense	(2,801,731)	(2,569,688)	(3,384,578)	(3,797,278)	(3,393,698)
Operating Loss	<u>(23,251,523)</u>	<u>(23,929,484)</u>	<u>(27,575,084)</u>	<u>(29,156,674)</u>	<u>(27,132,385)</u>
Non-Operating Revenues:					
Local Transportation Funds	13,804,353	15,384,232	18,142,280	16,100,145	15,272,757
State Funds	180,450	709,242	1,275,869	523,605	1,251,201
Federal Funds	4,347,696	4,341,003	16,723,497	12,913,641	15,497,082
Investment Earnings (Loss)	43,227	44,887	92,631	25,383	(88,456)
Other Income/(Expense), Net	<u>2,074,064</u>	<u>880,432</u>	<u>(3,215,821)</u>	<u>(2,199,965)</u>	<u>(2,444,447)</u>
Total Non-Operating Revenues	<u>20,449,790</u>	<u>21,359,796</u>	<u>33,018,456</u>	<u>27,362,809</u>	<u>29,488,137</u>
Net Income (Loss)	<u>\$ (2,801,733)</u>	<u>\$ (2,569,688)</u>	<u>\$ 5,443,372</u>	<u>\$ (1,793,865)</u>	<u>\$ 2,355,752</u>

***Operating Expenses - Actual Dollars Compared to Constant Dollars (Over Ten Year Period)***

	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>FY 2020</u>	<u>FY 2021</u>	<u>FY 2022</u>
Actual Dollars	\$ 23,853,668	\$ 24,716,841	\$ 27,671,728	\$ 26,602,341	\$ 28,197,799
Constant Dollars (2007)	\$ 19,519,128	\$ 20,170,016	\$ 21,619,271	\$ 19,984,823	\$ 21,857,179
CPI Percent Change	4.0%	3.8%	1.4%	4.0%	8.6%
Index Number (1982 = 100)	265.5	274.4	278.1	289.2	314.1
Cumulative Percent	18.6%	22.5%	28.0%	33.1%	29.0%

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
PASSENGER COST BY MODE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Total Passengers	3,566,470	3,817,758	3,908,847	3,800,673	3,616,386
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 2,708,046	\$ 2,996,373	\$ 3,211,258	\$ 3,068,465	\$ 2,936,328
Local Government Fare Revenue	\$ 200,000	\$ 390,000	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -
Total Operating Cost	\$ 14,408,626	\$ 16,019,298	\$ 16,723,757	\$ 17,770,454	\$ 18,949,465
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 0.759	\$ 0.785	\$ 0.822	\$ 0.807	\$ 0.812
Cost per Passenger	\$ 4.040	\$ 4.196	\$ 4.278	\$ 4.676	\$ 5.240
Fare box Recovery %	18.79%	18.70%	19.20%	17.30%	15.50%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	20.18%	21.14%	21.29%	24.80%	20.90%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 3.225	\$ 3.309	\$ 3.367	\$ 3.868	\$ 4.428
Subsidy %	79.82%	78.86%	78.71%	82.73%	84.50%
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Total Passengers	70,927	82,495	84,604	93,274	102,424
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 170,054	\$ 202,324	\$ 207,375	\$ 255,046	\$ 268,530
Local Government Fare Revenue	\$ 70,000	\$ 126,217	\$ 254,350	\$ 46,258	\$ 277,269
Total Operating Cost	\$ 2,233,641	\$ 2,512,184	\$ 2,657,691	\$ 2,847,427	\$ 3,163,880
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 2.398	\$ 2.453	\$ 2.451	\$ 2.734	\$ 2.622
Cost per Passenger	\$ 31.492	\$ 30.453	\$ 31.413	\$ 30.528	\$ 30.890
Fare box Recovery %	7.60%	8.10%	7.80%	9.00%	8.50%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	10.70%	13.10%	17.80%	16.90%	19.30%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 29.10	\$ 28.00	\$ 28.96	\$ 27.79	\$ 28.27
Subsidy %	92.39%	91.94%	92.20%	91.04%	91.51%
<b>All Mode - Total</b>					
Total Passengers	3,637,397	3,900,253	3,993,451	3,893,947	3,718,810
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 2,878,100	\$ 3,198,697	\$ 3,418,633	\$ 3,323,511	\$ 3,204,858
Total Operating Cost	\$ 16,642,267	\$ 18,531,482	\$ 19,381,448	\$ 20,617,881	\$ 22,113,345
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.85	\$ 0.86
Cost per Passenger	\$ 4.58	\$ 4.75	\$ 4.85	\$ 5.29	\$ 5.95
Fare box Recovery %	17.300%	18.500%	17.600%	16.200%	14.500%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	18.900%	21.300%	25.100%	23.700%	20.700%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 3.78	\$ 3.93	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.44	\$ 5.09
Subsidy %	82.70%	82.73%	82.36%	83.95%	85.46%

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT**  
**PASSENGER COST BY MODE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON (Continued)**  
**FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Total Passengers	3,474,161	3,524,869	2,958,867	1,891,011	2,261,605
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 2,808,293	\$ 2,817,393	\$ 1,880,378	\$ 623,402	\$ 2,065,513
Local Government Fare Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Operating Cost	\$ 20,331,655	\$ 21,066,532	\$ 24,418,236	\$ 23,648,666	\$ 24,807,401
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 0.808	\$ 0.734	\$ 0.636	\$ 0.330	\$ 0.913
Cost per Passenger	\$ 5.852	\$ 5.980	\$ 8.253	\$ 12.506	\$ 10.969
Fare box Recovery %	13.90%	12.30%	7.70%	2.64%	8.33%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	25.30%	20.30%	14.20%	2.64%	48.77%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 5.044	\$ 5.240	\$ 7.617	\$ 12.176	\$ 10.056
Subsidy %	86.19%	87.74%	92.30%	97.36%	91.67%
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Total Passengers	114,229	117,456	95,245	61,938	75,596
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 303,830	\$ 364,212	\$ 481,785	\$ 45,063	\$ 133,836
Local Government Fare Revenue	\$ 291,754	\$ 175,440	\$ 263,521	\$ -	\$ -
Total Operating Cost	\$ 3,522,013	\$ 3,650,309	\$ 3,253,492	\$ 2,953,675	\$ 3,393,883
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 2.660	\$ 3.100	\$ 5.058	\$ 0.728	\$ 1.770
Cost per Passenger	\$ 30.833	\$ 31.080	\$ 34.159	\$ 47.688	\$ 44.895
Fare box Recovery %	8.60%	10.00%	14.80%	1.53%	3.94%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	17.40%	14.78%	22.91%	1.53%	64.50%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 28.17	\$ 27.98	\$ 29.10	\$ 46.96	\$ 43.13
Subsidy %	91.37%	90.03%	85.19%	98.47%	96.06%
<b>All Mode - Total</b>					
Total Passengers	3,588,390	3,642,325	3,054,112	1,952,949	2,337,201
Passenger Fare Revenue	\$ 3,112,123	\$ 3,181,605	\$ 2,362,163	\$ 668,465	\$ 2,199,349
Total Operating Cost	\$ 23,853,668	\$ 24,716,841	\$ 27,671,728	\$ 26,602,341	\$ 28,201,284
Revenue per Passenger	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.94
Cost per Passenger	\$ 6.65	\$ 6.79	\$ 9.06	\$ 13.62	\$ 12.07
Fare box Recovery %	13.100%	12.900%	8.500%	2.513%	7.799%
Adjusted Fare box Recovery %	24.100%	19.500%	14.700%	4.200%	46.31%
Subsidy per Passenger	\$ 5.78	\$ 5.91	\$ 8.29	\$ 13.28	\$ 11.13
Subsidy %	86.92%	87.14%	91.47%	97.50%	92.17%

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
SERVICE COST BY MODE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Revenue Miles	1,850,676	2,044,386	2,111,023	2,168,198	2,185,626
Revenue Hours	181,417	196,925	199,418	201,903	202,938
Total Operating Cost	\$ 14,408,626	\$ 16,019,298	\$ 16,723,757	\$ 17,700,454	\$ 18,949,465
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 7.79	\$ 7.84	\$ 7.92	\$ 8.16	\$ 8.67
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 79.42	\$ 81.35	\$ 83.86	\$ 87.67	\$ 93.38
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Revenue Miles	482,005	552,342	581,041	663,954	735,001
Revenue Hours	30,649	36,210	36,876	43,007	49,188
Total Operating Cost	\$ 2,233,641	\$ 2,512,184	\$ 2,657,691	\$ 2,847,427	\$ 3,163,880
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 4.63	\$ 4.55	\$ 4.57	\$ 4.29	\$ 4.30
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 72.88	\$ 69.38	\$ 72.07	\$ 66.21	\$ 64.32
<b>All Mode - Total</b>					
Revenue Miles	2,332,681	2,596,728	2,692,064	2,832,152	2,920,627
Revenue Hours	212,066	233,135	236,294	244,910	252,126
Total Operating Cost	\$ 16,642,267	\$ 18,531,482	\$ 19,381,448	\$ 20,547,881	\$ 22,113,345
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 7.13	\$ 7.14	\$ 7.20	\$ 7.26	\$ 7.57
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 78.48	\$ 79.49	\$ 82.02	\$ 83.90	\$ 87.71

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
SERVICE COST BY MODE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON (Continued)  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Revenue Miles	2,163,750	2,165,288	1,990,876	2,043,999	2,071,300
Revenue Hours	201,970	201,630	186,905	173,947	184,731
Total Operating Cost	\$ 20,331,655	\$ 21,066,532	\$ 24,418,236	\$ 23,648,766	\$ 24,807,401
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 9.40	\$ 9.73	\$ 12.27	\$ 11.57	\$ 11.98
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 100.67	\$ 104.48	\$ 130.65	\$ 135.95	\$ 134.29
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Revenue Miles	802,841	777,043	619,952	511,051	562,865
Revenue Hours	61,006	50,704	39,935	29,951	34,337
Total Operating Cost	\$ 3,650,309	\$ 3,650,309	\$ 2,953,675	\$ 2,953,575	\$ 3,393,883
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 4.55	\$ 4.70	\$ 4.76	\$ 5.78	\$ 6.03
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 59.84	\$ 71.99	\$ 73.96	\$ 98.61	\$ 98.84
<b>All Mode - Total</b>					
Revenue Miles	2,966,591	2,942,331	2,610,828	2,555,050	2,634,165
Revenue Hours	262,976	252,334	226,840	203,898	219,068
Total Operating Cost	\$ 23,981,964	\$ 24,716,841	\$ 27,371,911	\$ 26,602,341	\$ 28,201,284
Cost per Revenue Mile	\$ 8.08	\$ 8.40	\$ 10.48	\$ 10.41	\$ 10.71
Cost per Revenue Hour	\$ 91.19	\$ 97.95	\$ 120.67	\$ 130.47	\$ 128.73

**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
RIDERSHIP AND SERVICE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>
<b>Passengers</b>					
Fixed Route	3,566,470	3,817,758	3,908,847	3,800,673	3,616,386
Paratransit	<u>70,927</u>	<u>82,495</u>	<u>84,604</u>	<u>93,274</u>	<u>102,424</u>
Total	<u><u>3,637,397</u></u>	<u><u>3,900,253</u></u>	<u><u>3,993,451</u></u>	<u><u>3,893,947</u></u>	<u><u>3,718,810</u></u>
<b>Revenue Miles</b>					
Fixed Route	1,850,676	2,044,386	2,111,023	2,168,198	2,185,626
Paratransit	<u>482,005</u>	<u>552,342</u>	<u>581,041</u>	<u>663,954</u>	<u>735,001</u>
Total	<u><u>2,332,681</u></u>	<u><u>2,596,728</u></u>	<u><u>2,692,064</u></u>	<u><u>2,832,152</u></u>	<u><u>2,920,627</u></u>
<b>Revenue Hours</b>					
Fixed Route	181,417	196,925	199,418	201,903	202,938
Paratransit	<u>30,649</u>	<u>36,210</u>	<u>36,876</u>	<u>43,007</u>	<u>49,188</u>
Total	<u><u>212,066</u></u>	<u><u>233,135</u></u>	<u><u>236,294</u></u>	<u><u>244,910</u></u>	<u><u>252,126</u></u>
<b>Passengers per Mile</b>					
Fixed Route	1.93	1.87	1.85	1.75	1.65
Paratransit	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.14</u>	<u>0.14</u>
Total	<u><u>1.56</u></u>	<u><u>1.50</u></u>	<u><u>1.48</u></u>	<u><u>1.37</u></u>	<u><u>1.27</u></u>
<b>Passengers per Hour</b>					
Fixed Route	19.66	19.39	19.60	18.82	17.82
Paratransit	<u>2.31</u>	<u>2.28</u>	<u>2.29</u>	<u>2.17</u>	<u>2.08</u>
Total	<u><u>17.15</u></u>	<u><u>16.73</u></u>	<u><u>16.90</u></u>	<u><u>15.90</u></u>	<u><u>14.75</u></u>
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Cost per Boarding	<u>\$ 4.04</u>	<u>\$ 4.20</u>	<u>\$ 4.28</u>	<u>\$ 4.66</u>	<u>\$ 5.24</u>
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Cost per Boarding	<u>\$ 31.49</u>	<u>\$ 30.45</u>	<u>\$ 31.41</u>	<u>\$ 30.53</u>	<u>\$ 30.89</u>



**GOLD COAST TRANSIT DISTRICT  
RIDERSHIP AND SERVICE – TEN YEAR COMPARISON (Continued)  
FISCAL YEARS 2013 TO 2022**

	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>FY 2020</u>	<u>FY 2021</u>	<u>FY 2022</u>
<b>Passengers</b>					
Fixed Route	3,474,161	3,524,869	2,958,867	1,891,011	2,261,605
Paratransit	<u>114,229</u>	<u>117,456</u>	<u>95,245</u>	<u>61,938</u>	<u>75,596</u>
Total	<u>3,588,390</u>	<u>3,642,325</u>	<u>3,054,112</u>	<u>1,952,949</u>	<u>2,337,201</u>
<b>Revenue Miles</b>					
Fixed Route	2,163,750	2,165,288	1,990,876	2,071,300	2,071,300
Paratransit	<u>802,841</u>	<u>777,043</u>	<u>619,952</u>	<u>562,865</u>	<u>562,865</u>
Total	<u>2,966,591</u>	<u>2,942,331</u>	<u>2,610,828</u>	<u>2,634,165</u>	<u>2,634,165</u>
<b>Revenue Hours</b>					
Fixed Route	201,970	201,630	186,905	184,731	184,731
Paratransit	<u>61,006</u>	<u>50,704</u>	<u>39,935</u>	<u>34,337</u>	<u>34,337</u>
Total	<u>262,976</u>	<u>252,334</u>	<u>226,840</u>	<u>219,068</u>	<u>219,068</u>
<b>Passengers per Mile</b>					
Fixed Route	1.61	1.63	1.49	0.91	1.09
Paratransit	<u>0.14</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.13</u>
Total	<u>1.21</u>	<u>1.24</u>	<u>1.17</u>	<u>0.74</u>	<u>0.89</u>
<b>Passengers per Hour</b>					
Fixed Route	17.20	17.48	15.83	10.24	12.24
Paratransit	<u>1.87</u>	<u>2.32</u>	<u>2.39</u>	<u>1.80</u>	<u>2.20</u>
Total	<u>13.65</u>	<u>14.43</u>	<u>13.46</u>	<u>8.91</u>	<u>10.67</u>
<b>Bus - Fixed Route</b>					
Cost per Boarding	<u>\$ 5.85</u>	<u>\$ 5.98</u>	<u>\$ 8.25</u>	<u>\$ 12.51</u>	<u>\$ 10.97</u>
<b>Bus - Paratransit</b>					
Cost per Boarding	<u>\$ 30.83</u>	<u>\$ 31.08</u>	<u>\$ 34.16</u>	<u>\$ 47.69</u>	<u>\$ 44.90</u>

This page intentionally left blank.